Cell Reports

A trypanosome-derived immunotherapeutics platform elicits potent high-affinity antibodies, negating the effects of the synthetic opioid fentanyl

Graphical abstract



Authors

Gianna Triller, Evi P. Vlachou, Hamidreza Hashemi, ..., C. Erec Stebbins, F. Nina Papavasiliou, Joseph P. Verdi

Correspondence

j.verdi@dkfz-heidelberg.de

In brief

Triller et al. exploit the unique immunogenicity of *Trypanosoma brucei* surface coats to generate an antibody elicitation platform tailored to difficult targets including small molecules. They use this platform to elicit high-affinity monoclonal antibodies to the synthetic opioid fentanyl, which could be used as overdose-preventing prophylactics.

Highlights

- Antibody elicitation platform based on the surface coat of *Trypanosoma brucei*
- Adjuvant-free immunizations elicit antibodies against fentanyl
- Memory B cell identification by RNA-seq facilitates highaffinity mAb discovery
- Picomolar-affinity anti-fentanyl mAbs protect from fentanyl pharmacological effects





Cell Reports

Article

A trypanosome-derived immunotherapeutics platform elicits potent high-affinity antibodies, negating the effects of the synthetic opioid fentanyl



¹Division of Immune Diversity, German Cancer Research Center, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

²Panosome GmbH, 69123 Heidelberg, Germany

³Division of Structural Biology of Infection and Immunity, German Cancer Research Center, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

⁴Hepione Therapeutics, Inc., New York, NY 10014, USA

⁵Department of Pharmacology, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

⁶luvo Bioscience, Rush, NY 14543, USA

- ⁷Cancer Drug Development Group, German Cancer Research Center, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁸Forensic Toxicology, Institute of Forensic and Traffic Medicine, Heidelberg University Hospital, 69115 Heidelberg, Germany

⁹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Department of Pharmacology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Center for Medication Development for Substance Use Disorders, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

¹⁰Lead contact

*Correspondence: j.verdi@dkfz-heidelberg.de https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112049

SUMMARY

Poorly immunogenic small molecules pose challenges for the production of clinically efficacious vaccines and antibodies. To address this, we generate an immunization platform derived from the immunogenic surface coat of the African trypanosome. Through sortase-based conjugation of the target molecules to the variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) of the trypanosome surface coat, we develop VSG-immunogen array by sortase tagging (VAST). VAST elicits antigen-specific memory B cells and antibodies in a murine model after deploying the poorly immunogenic molecule fentanyl as a proof of concept. We also develop a single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq)-based computational method that synergizes with VAST to specifically identify memory B cell-encoded antibodies. All computationally selected antibodies bind to fentanyl with picomolar affinity. Moreover, these antibodies protect mice from fentanyl effects after passive immunization, demonstrating the ability of these two coupled technologies to elicit therapeutic antibodies to challenging immunogens.

INTRODUCTION

The mammalian immune system struggles to generate antibody responses against small molecules unless they are fused to immunogenic carrier proteins to facilitate CD4+ T cell-dependent B cell activation.¹ Classical hapten-carrier systems have been extensively tested in animals.²⁻⁴ More recently, the desire to elicit antibodies to small-molecule drugs of abuse has propelled the implementation of classical hapten-carrier systems into human trials with limited success and some prominent failures, mostly due to the high individual variability in polyclonal antibody responses.^{5,6} While additional opioid vaccines are currently being tested in humans (e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT04458545) or readied for clinical trials (e.g., 3UG3DA047711-02S1), recent clinical studies have demonstrated safety and efficacy of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against methamphetamine (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03336866 and NCT04715230), paving the way for this alternative strategy, provided that efficacious mAbs can be generated.

To accelerate mAb development, we developed an antibody elicitation platform that avoids the need for exogenous adjuvants. The system exploits the inherent immunogenicity of the blood-resident parasitic protozoan *Trypanosoma brucei*, which largely depends on the densely patterned array of variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) molecules that coat the surface of the organism (~10 million copies of a specific VSG per trypanosome, forming the overwhelming majority of the cell's total surface protein⁷). This repetitive array facilitates epitope presentation to the immune system, driving both T-dependent and T-independent protective antibody responses.^{8,9} Furthermore, antibody responses to VSG arrays can be remarkably restricted in variable gene usage through an "epitope-focusing" effect that is potentially unique to this organism.¹⁰

VSG arrays elicit long-lasting responses: antibodies raised to a clonal VSG array protect the animal from infection with the same VSG-coated parasite for life.¹¹ Counterintuitively, long-standing evolutionary pressures have likely selected for VSG coats that



Figure 1. The VSG-immunogen array by sortase tagging (VAST) platform: A trypanosome-based antigen display platform

(A) An antigen of choice (AOC) is shown as a conjugate to the sortase recognition motif LPXTGG (X = S in this case). The sortase enzyme conjugates the AOC to modified VSG proteins containing an N-terminally extended AA motif.

(B) Model of the VAST platform. Red moieties represent the sortagged antigens. The blue and teal structures are the VSG homodimers (each monomer represented by one color).

(C) Flow cytometry plots revealing the results of sortagging experiments using live trypanosomes sortagged with AOCs representing the indicated molecule classes. Synthetic peptide: peptide derived from the SARS-CoV-2 spike. Modified nucleotide: inosine. Small molecule: 6-FAM.

elicit strong, but highly VSG-specific, antibody responses. This is due to the remarkable system of antigenic variation utilized by this pathogen. Trypanosomes possess a large genomic cache of antigenically distinct VSGs. During infection, the pathogen produces successive populations of "switched" cells that express different VSGs on the surface. VSG proteins with unique and immunodominant epitopes generate antibody repertoires that are highly focused on a given VSG¹⁰ but that are unlikely to recognize switched cells. The host immune system thus invests considerable resources into a given VSG only to find itself naive to switched cells. Therefore, the VSG array has been selected precisely for the ability to generate robust serological responses.

CellPress

We initially exploited the exceptionally antigenic nature of trypanosomes by genetically engineering VSGs to incorporate short peptides (e.g., FLAG) into their solvent-exposed loops.¹² To then broaden the applicability of the system to other types of antigens, we instead engineered VSGs to become substrates of the transpeptidation reaction known as "sortagging." The term refers to the activity of the bacterial enzymes called sortases.¹³ By genetically engineering sortaggable VSGs¹⁴ and chemically synthesizing sortaggable antigens of interest (Figure 1A), the surface envelope of the trypanosome was effectively converted into a molecular display platform (Figure 1B). We can thus exhibit a wide variety of antigens against which antibodies are to be elicited (Figure 1C). We have named this platform VSG-immunogen array by sortase tagging (VAST).

VAST addresses the difficulties in generating antibodies against difficult targets; however, subsequent candidate antibody identification remains a notable hurdle. Indeed, the process of identifying high-quality mAbs from the sea of mixed-affinity splenocytes after immunization often invokes many months of large-scale screening. In some cases, thousands of candidate antibodies are functionally interrogated before the top hits are selected.¹⁵ We sought to address this financial and technical challenge by developing a computational strategy that could identify the best-quality B cells within a splenocyte pool. These high-quality B cells define the memory compartment, i.e., cells that have possibly undergone multiple rounds of affinity maturation during the immunization process. Unfortunately, these cells

cannot yet be reliably identified based on flow cytometrycompatible surface markers due to the lack of suitable markers and staining panels in mice. However, memory B cell transcriptomes have been described,^{16–18} revealing the most reproducibly differentially regulated genes in the memory population. We reasoned that a transcriptomics approach to candidate antibody identification would be suitable given that it would also simultaneously provide the raw data required for antibody sequence assembly and BCR repertoire analysis. The VAST system is therefore a combined suite of two separate components: a trypanosome-based antibody generation platform, and a computational antibody identification platform.

As a proof of concept, we sought to apply the VAST system toward the elicitation of antibodies to the small-molecule drug fentanyl, a clinically relevant model antigen. Fentanyl is a synthetic opioid 50- to 100-fold more potent than morphine. Although used clinically as a pain reliever and anesthetic, fentanyl is repeatedly mixed with recreationally used heroin or cocaine to mask impurities. This means that individuals are often unaware that they are self-administering the more potent fentanyl.¹⁹ Fentanyl is currently a leading cause of overdose and is the strongest driver of the increasing opioid-associated death rate. Death by opioid overdose was listed as the sixth largest cause of mortality in the United States in 2017, with figures having risen substantially during the COVID-19 pandemic and recently eclipsing the mark of 100,000 fatal overdoses per year (CDC, November 2021; https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/nchs_ press_releases/2021/20211117.htm).

The current development status of immunotherapeutics in the context of substance use disorder is reviewed here.²⁰ Their development reflects both the acute need for relapse protection measures (the rate of relapse is >80% after exiting rehabilitation clinics^{21,22}) and the need for an adjunct to treatment with naloxone, the opioid receptor antagonist that is the current standard of care for overdose reversal. To date, several vaccine formulations have shown efficacy against the pharmacological effects of fentanyl and its analogs.^{23–28} However, all these efforts have employed the classical adjuvanted hapten-carrier protein combinations that have yet to produce a clinically approved



Figure 2. The VAST platform induces antibody titers against fentanyl (A) Representative (assay repeated >10 times) flow cytometry plot depicting a Fent-VAST sortagging reaction after anti-fentanyl fluorescent antibody staining.

(B) The graph depicts a sortagging reaction time course (non-linear curve fit $R^2 = 0.968$). Cells were sortagged with FITC, and fluorescence was guantified at the indicated time points. The y axis quantifies the approximate percentage of the total VSGs sortagged per cell at each time point, as determined using the assay in (C).

(C) The flow cytometry histograms show the fluorescence of 6 bead populations (gray) coated with known copy numbers of FITC and the fluorescence of FITC-sortagged trypanosomes at the final time point in (B) (red). The most fluorescent bead is coated with 1e6 FITC molecules, which would be equivalent to approximately 10% of the total number of sortaggable sites on a trypanosome.

(D) ELISA measurements of serum IgG against fentanyl are shown. The mice immunized with control (not sortagged) VAST are shown in black dots, with Fent-VAST in red dots. Each dot represents antiserum from 1 mouse (n = 5 mice per immunogen; means ± SD are shown). In this particular experiment, the first boost was delivered at day 42. All titers below the scale break are equivalent to baseline. Some mice with baseline-level ELISA signal are excluded due to erroneous outliers that arise when calculating the midpoint titer.

See also Figures S1 and S2.



product. These strategies have employed monovalent primeboost combinations (i.e., using the same hapten-carrier protein conjugate for each injection), bivalent combinations employing the co-administration of admixed individual conjugates,²⁹ and bivalent homologous and heterologous prime-boost combinations²⁸ to target individual or multiple opioid compounds simultaneously. These strategies have also been used to generate mAbs against a variety of target drugs of abuse including fentanyl.³⁰ Notably, fentanyl-specific mAbs are effective in both preventing and reversing fentanyl's pharmacological effects in rodent models, further highlighting the promise of immunotherapeutics in the opioid space.^{31–34} While promising, there remains a lack of clinical output in this space and a desperate need for additional intervention options.

RESULTS

The VAST platform induces antibody titers against fentanyl

A sortaggable version of fentanyl (fen-sort) was produced using a modified synthesis of a fentanyl derivative previously described in Raleigh et al.²⁶ and Robinson et al.²⁷ (Figures S1A and S1B).²⁶⁻²⁸ After sortagging fen-sort to trypanosomes, 100% of the cells were decorated with fen-sort (Figure 2A) with VSG coverage per cell estimated at 20% (Figures 2B and 2C).

Immunizing mice with UV-crosslinked (inactivated) trypanosomes recapitulates the immune response launched by the live organism.¹⁴ Thus, mice were first primed with either fentanyl (Fent)-VAST (comprising the material in Figure 1B in UV-inactivated form) or control-VAST (UV-inactivated but not sortagged). However, compelling evidence suggests that while B cells normally encounter antigens in a membrane-bound format during initial activation,³⁵ memory recall after initial activation can be quickly stimulated by soluble antigens since smaller entities are more easily able to penetrate B cell follicles.^{36,37} Therefore, we designed a two-step injection paradigm where priming was followed by boosting with a 10- to 20-fold higher dose of antigen-conjugated VSG in a soluble format (i.e., after cleavage from the membrane and biochemical purification of the VSG protein; Figures S2A-S2C). This led to the generation of high antibody titers against fentanyl in the mice immunized with Fent-VAST that matured with each injection (Figure 2D). Injection of membrane-bound Fent-VAST alone led to the generation of only modest anti-fentanyl titers (Figure 2D, days 12-40), while injecting soluble-Fent-VAST in the absence of a priming step did not elicit high fentanyl titers (Figure S2D). However, the combination of both steps resulted in the recalling of antigen-specific memory B cells that were produced after priming, where recall is represented by the marked increase in titers after the first boost administered on day 42 (Figure 2D). This ability to recall with soluble-Fent-VAST was maintained until at least 4 months post-priming (Figure S2E), confirming that long-term immunological memory is established and then recalled by the VAST platform. Recalled memory cells will have most likely undergone affinity maturation by the time that they differentiate into antibody-producing plasma cells. It is therefore reasonable to hypothesize that the great majority (>90% given the logarithmic titer jump from day 40





to 50, Figure 2D) of circulating antibodies post-boost are affinity-matured memory-derived antibodies. We compared the ability of VAST to elicit such a high proportion of high-quality antibodies with that of a conventional globular carrier, keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH), adjuvanted with aluminum salts. Many adjuvanted globular carriers elicit tremendous priming responses upon initial injection, which we also observed after immunizing mice with Fent-KLH (Figure S2F). However, subsequent boost injections triggered relatively weak increases in titer, while VAST triggers a noticeable boost-dependent titer jump, indicative of memory recall (Figure S2F).

Anti-fentanyl titers protect from fentanyl effects

Next, we tested whether the strong antibody response induced by Fent-VAST immunization could protect mice from fentanyl. The pharmacologic activity of 100 μ g/kg fentanyl was assessed using the hot plate assay of nociception and the Straub tail reaction test (assays described in Figures S3A–S3C) 10 days after the final boost (vaccination schedule in Figure S2C). Fent-VAST immunization reproducibly ablated any detectable fentanylinduced antinociceptive effects via the hot plate assay and prevented the typical Straub tail reaction (Figures 3A and S3C; Video S1). We then injected naloxone immediately after the behavioral assessments (Figures S3A and S3B). Fentanylinduced antinociception was reversed in all mice (Figure S3B), indicating that the antibodies do not interfere with rescue of overdose via naloxone treatment.

Antibodies are hypothesized to protect against fentanyl effects by binding the drug in the bloodstream, decreasing circulating free drug, and thereby preventing fentanyl from entering a multitude of tissues,²⁸ including the central nervous system. We thus measured the fentanyl concentration in the brain and serum of mice after fentanyl challenge by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). While control mice exhibited levels of 10–20 ng/mL fentanyl in the brain and less than 5 ng/mL in the serum, the Fent-VAST-vaccinated mice exhibited less than 5 ng/mL in the brain and 80–280 ng/mL fentanyl in the serum (Figures 3B and 3C). This demonstrates that the Fent-VAST-induced antibodies could trap the fentanyl in the serum of immunized mice, preventing the drug's blood-brain-barrier penetration.

Cell Reports Article

Figure 3. Anti-fentanyl titers protect from fentanyl pharmacological effects

(A) Analgesic activity at day 100 was tested by using the hot plate antinociception assay as described by Cox and Weinstock.³⁸ Mice were dosed with a cumulative dose of 100 μ g/kg fentanyl. The percentage maximum possible effect (%MPE) is shown. A positive (+) or negative (–) reaction in the Straub tail (ST) test is indicated below and representative of the whole group. Means \pm standard deviation of 5 mice per group are shown. ****p < 0.0001 by unpaired t test.

(B-C) LC-MS analysis of the distribution of fentanyl in the sera (B) and brains (C) of the mice in (A) is shown. Means \pm standard deviation of 6 mice per group are shown. ****p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 by unpaired t test.

See also Figure S3.

The VAST platform elicits six different B cell subsets

We sought to assess whether the platform establishes bona fide memory B cells and to sequence their encoded antibodies given that antigen-primed memory B cell receptors (BCRs) are likely to be of high affinity. To this end, we used single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to precisely identify the different B cell subsets induced by the VAST platform. We fluorescence-activated cell sorted (FACS) fentanyl-binding B cells from two mice immunized with Fent-VAST (the gating strategy and baiting results are shown in Figures S4A and S4B) and performed singlecell RNA-seq. We identified six distinct B cell subpopulations among the sorted fentanyl-specific B cells (Figure 4A). The subpopulations were annotated based on the expression levels of the top 10 most differentially expressed genetic markers for each subcluster (Figure 4B), among them Myc, Cd55, Cd21 (or Cr2), Cd80, and Fcrl5.39-44 The subpopulations included a germinal center light-zone pre-plasma (GC-LZ-pre-plasma) population; a GC dark-zone (GC-DZ) population; a follicular B cell (FOB) population, a marginal zone-like atypical memory B cell (MZB) population, and a naive B1b cell population.⁴⁵ Importantly, there was also a recognizable CD40-expressing isotype-switched memory B cell (switched-MBC) population identified with this analysis. These data further support earlier preclinical evidence that opioid-carrier conjugate vaccines induce CD4+ T cell-dependent B cell processes to elicit opioid-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies, including GC formation as assessed by antigen-specific GC B cells, T follicular helper (Tfh) cells, GC-Tfh cells, and subsequent switched-MBCs.49-

Pairing each cell's transcriptomically identified subtype with data from FACS index sorting, we observed that the cells with the highest affinity to fentanyl were from the memory subpopulation (Figure 4A, right panel), which was also enriched for Cd80 and Cd73, two T-dependent MBC markers that are absent from the other subpopulations.⁵³ The Mki67 proliferation marker was also upregulated in the switched-MBC and pre-plasma populations, suggesting the reactivation of MBCs immediately after the boost administered 10 days prior to cell collection, which is consistent with the known kinetics of the recall response (Figures 4C and S4C).⁵⁴ Additionally, the subpopulation expresses longevity markers such as Zbdb20, traditionally





Figure 4. The VAST platform elicits six different B cell subsets

(A) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) visualization of the B cell subclusters and scatterplot of the log10(mean fluorescence intensity) (MFI) for the fentanyl binding vs. the IgG1 surface expression is shown. The color code indicates the different subclusters. The numerically labeled cells correspond to the B cells from which the BCRs were cloned to produce Fabs and full-length antibodies.

(B) Heatmap visualizing the top 10 statistically significantly upregulated genes based on the MAST statistical framework for each subcluster along with several known B cell markers. Genes with an adjusted p value <0.05 have been identified as markers. The columns correspond to the different subclusters (the same color code used in A) and the rows to the average gene expression for the selected genes. Red indicates relatively high gene expression, while blue indicates low expression.

(C) UMAP visualization of the joint expression of the Cd80, Mki67, and Ighg1 genes. Beige indicates a relatively high simultaneous expression pattern, while purple indicates low simultaneous expression.

(D) Barplot depicts the heavy-chain isotype distribution for each B cell subpopulation. There are cells (labeled as Un [unknown]) for which we were unable to identify the isotype via BLAST.

(E) Circos plots of the switched memory B cell subpopulation for each of two mice are shown. The expanded heavy (in blue, IGHV1-74) and light (in pink, IGKV6-15) variable chain genes are highlighted. Dark gray: BCRs that were selected for cloning. See also Figure S4.

expressed in long-lived plasma cells.^{55,56} The unswitched populations produced BCRs mainly of the IgM isotype, while the memory population predominantly expressed IgG1 and IgG2b (Figure 4D).

To further characterize these BCRs from the switched-MBCs, we performed BCR repertoire analysis based on VDJ usage of the heavy and light chains of the BCRs. We found that many of the B cells from the switched-MBC subpopulation showed a similar VDJ profile for paired heavy and light chains (Figure 4E). Heavy- and light-chain pairing was dominated by the IGHV1-74/IGHJ4 or IGHV1-53/IGHJ2 genes in combination with IGKV6-15/IGKJ2 (Figure 4E), pairs that are

Table 1. Monoclonal anti-fentanyl antibodies cloned from BCRs of B cells (MBC ID) belonging to the switched memory B cell population												
Mouse	MBC ID	Heavy V chain	Heavy D chain	Heavy J chain	Heavy CDR3 aa	Heavy constant	Heavy SHM	Light V chain	Light J chain	Light CDR3 aa	Light constant	Light SHM
1	196	IGHV1-53	IGHD1-1	IGHJ2	AIEVGYYDY	lghg2b	6	IGKV6-15	IGKJ2	EQYNS YPYT	lgkc	3
1	208	IGHV1-53	IGHD1-1	IGHJ2	AIEVGYYDY	lghg2b	6	IGKV6-15	IGKJ2	EQYNS YPYT	lgkc	3
1	24	IGHV1-74	IGHD2-3	IGHJ4	AIEIYDGYY AMDY	lghg1	2	IGKV6-15	IGKJ5	QQYNS YPLT	lgkc	1
1	136	IGHV1-74	IGHD2-3	IGHJ4	AIEIYDGYN TMDY	lghg1	2	IGKV6-15	IGKJ5	QQYNN YPLT	lgkc	1
1	156	IGHV1-75	IGHD1-1	IGHJ2	ARRDYGSS YFDY	lghg1	0	IGKV1-99	IGKJ4	FQSN YLPLT	lgkc	0
6	609	IGHV1-74	IGHD1-1	IGHJ2	AMEDYYGS SYEDY	lghg1	3	IGKV6-15	IGKJ2	QQYNT YPYT	lgkc	1
6	949	IGHV1-74	IGHD1-1	IGHJ2	AIERDYYGS REDY	lghg1	0	IGKV6-15	IGKJ2	QQYNS YPYT	lgkc	2
6	913	IGHV1-85	IGHD1-1	IGHJ1	AIEGFTTVV ARNFDV	lghg1	4	IGKV6-15	IGKJ2	QQYNT YPYT	lgkc	3
6	861	IGHV2-2	IGHD1-3	IGHJ2	ATEVGYFDY	lghg2b	2	IGKV6-15	IGKJ2	QQYNS YPYT	lgkc	1

The gene usage of the V(D)J combination is indicated, along with the CDR3 amino acid sequence, and the constant region for each heavy and light chain combination. In the "SHM" column, the number of silent and missense mutations detected along the full-length of the heavy or light chain is indicated.

not at all represented within the cumulative repertoire of the five non-memory subsets (Figure S4D). Notably, these pairs are also not overrepresented in naive mouse BCR repertoires or repertoires from mice infected with live *T. brucei*.¹⁰ In addition, some BCRs had similar or even identical heavy- and light-chain CDR3 regions and shared several somatic hypermutations, suggesting that the cells were clonally related (Table 1).

Fentanyl-specific antibodies are of high affinity and specificity and protect mice from fentanyl effects

We cloned and expressed the selected BCRs as recombinant full-length mAbs or Fabs and purified them for functional characterization (Figures 5A and 5B). Affinity and thermodynamic characteristics of purified Fabs binding to fentanyl and fen-sort were first analyzed using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). All fentanyl-binding reactions were exothermic with nanomolar and subnanomolar ranges of affinities (Figure 5C). Large enthalpy changes ($\Delta H \sim -68-100$ kJ/mol) attenuated by unfavorable entropy inputs ($-T\Delta S = 13-47$ kJ/mol) contributed to binding energies ΔG of approximately -50-60 kJ/mol. The binding reactions of fen-sort were similarly enthalpy driven ($\Delta H \sim -132$ -156 kJ/mol, $-T\Delta S \sim 80-105$ kJ/mol) and resulted in 0.7-1 nM K_D s. The subnanomolar affinities fell below the optimal measurement range for ITC, and indeed the raw data suggested that the actual affinities may be higher than what had been calculated. We therefore used biolayer interferometry (BLI), a technique with a wider dynamic range. These affinities were in the picomolar range, spanning from 980 pm to less than the measurable limit of the experimental apparatus (Figure 5C). These limitations were often driven by the exceptionally long Koff rates of the antibodies, especially for the bivalent IgGs.

Further characterization by competition ELISA confirmed that these antibodies were fentanyl specific and, importantly, did not interact with naloxone (Figure 5D), consistent with *in vivo* data (Figure S3B). Every individual mAb cloned and expressed after selection by this MBC-focused computational selection method has displayed the above properties (picomolar affinity and fentanyl class binding specificity).

To investigate if the MBCs contributed to protection, we first selected FenAb136 to determine if the antibody was able to directly protect mice from fentanyl challenge. Mice were passively immunized by intraperitoneal injection with two different concentrations of the full-length antibody 24 h prior to fentanyl challenge. Although only 37% (higher dose) and 25% (lower dose) of the originally injected amount of FenAb136 could be detected in the blood at the time of challenge (Figure S5A), mice were protected from fentanyl effects in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 5E). LC-MS revealed that fentanyl was trapped in the serum of the antibody-administered mice as expected (Figure 5F). In fact, we detected equimolar serum-fentanyl and serum-FenAb136 levels (approximately 1.2 μ M for the high-dose group and 0.1 μ M for the low-dose group; Figure S5B).

We also investigated if FenAB136 could be used as a therapeutic post-overdose, where fentanyl was administered prior to a mAb (experimental design in Figure S5C). All groups responded rapidly to the injection of fentanyl, while mice subsequently treated with naloxone then returned to baseline (Figure 5G). The antibody-injected group also trended toward baseline at T = 15 min, while the control group continued to experience fentanyl effects. As an additional assessment of fentanyl effect inhibition, we employed the laboratory animal behavior observation registration and analysis system (LABORAS), an automated approach that longitudinally





Figure 5. Fentanyl-specific antibodies are of high affinity and specificity and protect mice from fentanyl pharmacological effects (A) Schematic of the expression system used to produce recombinant antibodies.

(B) Coomassie-stained non-reducing SDS-PAGE after affinity purification of a panel of the expressed IgGs (running near 150 kDa) and Fabs (running as separate heavy and light chains near 25 kDa). The red asterisk marks a band produced by a glycosylated Fab, while the remaining Fabs are not glycosylated. The first lane marked "136" is the full-length IgG version of this antibody, while the second lane is the Fab. 156, 196, 208, and 440 are IgG protein samples, while 024, 609, and 709 are Fab protein samples. Ctrl-IgG is a control IgG sample as an additional molecular weight reference.

(C) Binding affinities determined for each antibody using both ITC and BLI. Antibodies whose affinity were too high to measure are here reported as "not determinable" (N.D.).

(D) Competition ELISA data generated with haptenated fentanyl-coated plates. The indicated soluble competitors are serially diluted into the assay to determine the relative efficiency of cross-binding to other opioid molecules, with soluble fentanyl serving as a positive control.

(E) Behavioral protection from fentanyl after prophylactic passive immunization with FenAb136. A positive (+) or negative (-) reaction in the ST test is indicated below and representative of the whole group. Means \pm SD of 5 mice per group are shown. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.005 by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.

(F) Sera from the mice in (E) were collected and analyzed for fentanyl content by mass spectrometry. Means ± SD of 5 mice per group are shown. ****p < 0.001 by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test.

(G) Behavioral protection from fentanyl after therapeutic (rescue) passive immunization with FenAb136. Hot plate assay recordings were made at T = 15 and 30 min post-fentanyl injection. Means \pm SD of >3 mice per group are shown.

(H) Behavioral protection from fentanyl after prophylactic passive immunization with FenAb136 or FenAb208. Means ± SD of 5 mice per group are shown. See also Figure S5.



Figure 6. VAST-elicited antibodies bind fentanyl in a deep, enveloping pocket

(A) Overall structure of a complex of a Fab (FenAb609, heavy chain colored light blue, light chain colored gold) with fentanyl (purple, space filling depiction) shown as a ribbon diagram with the two-dimensional chemical structure of fentanyl on the left.

(B) Illustration of the fentanyl binding pocket as a thin slice through the molecular surface of the protein (colored in a gradient from white to red to reflect increasing hydrophobicity of the surface) colored using the method of Eisenberg.⁵⁷ Fentanyl is shown as a stick model with atoms of carbon, nitrogen, and oxygens in gray, blue, and red, respectively.

(C) Contacts of the protein to fentanyl shown with side chains as stick models and the mainchain as a ribbon diagram. "HC" denotes heavy chain, and "LC" denotes the light chain. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed red lines between bonded atoms.

(D) Sequences of four fentanyl-binding Fab molecules where the alignment was generated by superimposing the crystal structures. The secondary structure of a representative Fab (FenAb609) is shown above the sequence, colored as per (A). Disulfide bonds are shown as lines connecting cysteine residues. Major and minor contacts are indicated in orange and yellow, respectively. The framework regions are denoted as FR1, -2, -3, and -4.

(E) Superposition of FenAb609 and FenAb208 crystal structures with the molecular surface of FenAb208 shown (heavy and light chain regions colored as in A) along with the stick figures of fentanyl in both molecules.

See also Figure S6.

records mouse movement and a number of additional movement-associated parameters. LABORAS data revealed that mice experiencing fentanyl effects moved in a noticeably circular fashion around the periphery of the cage (Figure S5D). Naloxone-administered mice appeared to exhibit normal behavior, while the antibody-injected mice trended toward normal behavior more rapidly than the control group. Quantifiable measurements of total recorded travel distance supported antibody efficacy (Figure S5E).

We next interrogated the role that antibody affinity plays in fentanyl protection. We compared the prophylactic protective capacity of FenAb136 (our lowest-affinity antibody) with that of FenAb208 (one of the highest-affinity antibodies). In the hot plate assay, the lower-affinity FenAb136 was indistinguishable from control at the low dose of 3 mg/kg, while some protection was afforded by the higher-affinity FenAb208 (Figure 5H). A similar trend was observed with the high dose, where FenAb208 kept all mice at baseline even immediately after fentanyl injection (the 5 min time point), while FenAb136 was only partially protective (Figure 5H). LABORAS data revealed that control animals continuously run in a circular fashion around the periphery of their cage post-fentanyl, while only the FenAb208 groups and the high dose of FenAb136 group displayed more normal mouse behavior (Figure S5F).

VAST-elicited antibodies bind fentanyl in a deep, enveloping pocket

We co-purified drug:Fab complexes (two with fentanyl and two with fen-G4) and determined four high-resolution structures by



X-ray crystallography (Figures 6 and S6; Table S1). All the antibodies share a similar binding mode consisting of a deep, invaginated pocket formed by residues from both the heavy and the light chains of the immunoglobulin. While the upper portions of the pocket consist of CDR regions of the chains, the pocket is so deep (approximately 15 Å from the upper portions to the lower regions) that the bottom of the pocket is lined with residues from the beta-sheet framework regions, with several amino acids from these segments making contact with the drug.

Fentanyl (N-(1-(2-phenylethyl)-4-piperidinyl)-N-phenyl-propanamide) inserts deeply into the cavity in a mostly elongated conformation (Figure 6). Projecting only slightly out from the molecular surface of the Fab is the phenylethyl ring (the group absent in the fen-sort construct used to immunize mice; Figures S1A vs. S1B). This ring is mostly buried (Figure 6B), and the remaining chemical structure plunges straight into the pocket so that the piperidine ring is fitted tightly about halfway into the cavity, locked in place with numerous hydrophobic van der Waals contacts and a hydrogen bond (Figure 6C). The drug descends further into the pocket bottom, where the two groups of the N-phenyl-propanamide group each plug into a snug series of contacts. A cavity is carved into the surface at the pocket bottom, into which the benzene ring inserts, while the propionyl group projects in the opposite direction and is held tightly by numerous contacts including a deeply buried hydrogen bond. Several contacts from framework residues occur in this region at the bottom of the pocket (Figures 6C and 6D). The network of interactions observed in the structures support the ITC data, which suggest that the net formation of hydrogen bonds between fentanyl moiety and Fab is a major driving force of binding and antigen specificity. Interestingly, the binding of a ligand (e.g., testosterone, steroids, musk odorant) to a deep hydrophobic pocket in a Fab is often hypothesized to be regulated by shape complementarity and the concomitant desolvation of the complementary surfaces with a subsequent rise in system entropy.⁵⁸ In the case of the fentanyl-specific antibodies described here, the entropy change was unfavorable, indicating that conformational rearrangements of Fab loops were likely required to form the pocket around fentanyl. Unbound Fab should exhibit higher degrees of freedom, which are lost upon ligand binding, leading to an entropy drop. This hypothesis correlates well with the observation from crystallization trials that the unliganded Fab could not be crystalized.

As noted, the pocket structure is common to all the antibodies identified, and while many contacts are conserved between the different Fabs, there are differences that could contribute to the range of affinities measured. In addition, the "top" aromatic group of fentanyl is seen in FenAb208 to adopt a different conformation than in some of the other fentanyl-bound antibodies (Figure 6E). In particular, the CDR3 loop of the heavy chain in FenAb208 is significantly shorter and adopts a very different conformation, which creates a small socket not present in the other structures into which the phenylethyl ring inserts. Because this ring is absent in fen-sort, it demonstrates that the antibody repertoire is able to produce a collection of different immunoglobulins that can engage this chemical group effectively, even without any pressure to select such variants.

DISCUSSION

Many obstacles impede the production of specific and high-affinity mAbs against several different categories of antigens, one being small molecules. VAST can serve as a mAb-producing tool, particularly in contexts where more conventional methods have failed. VAST does not require the addition of adjuvants, in contrast to most standard immunization methods. Thus, VAST serves as a "self-adjuvanting" array that harnesses the natural immunogenicity of the trypanosome coat to elicit an immune reaction against even poorly immunogenic antigens, which is hypothetically powered primarily by the high density of the displayed antigen. In this study, only 20% surface coverage was sufficient to elicit picomolar-affinity antibodies. More recently, we have observed that VAST can be sortagged with at least up to 75% coverage, although it remains unclear if coverage values above 20% actually provide any marked improvement in antibody elicitation.

Small molecules that are rapidly purged from the body may evade B cell detection for temporal reasons, while the molecules themselves are also unlikely to be presented on the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) or act as T cell epitopes. However, the use of chronic opioids has been reported to elicit low levels of anti-opioid antibodies,⁵⁹ with little known about the underlying mechanisms permitting antibody elicitation. Thus, a core concept in the conventional design of small-molecule immunizations is to conjugate the small molecule hapten to a larger immunogenic carrier that drives a CD4+ T cell response in order to sustain B cell activation. In fact, depletion of CD4+ T cells, use of TCR knockout (KO) mice, and T cell-independent carriers such as ficoll and dextran^{49,50} show that T cell help is required for the generation of antibody responses in the context of opioid immunizations. However, decades' worth of literature show that trypanosomes drive a strong T-independent antibody response,^{8,9} and so we cannot rule out the roles that T-independent pathways may have in the immune response to VAST-carried haptens. Generally, we hypothesize that immune stimulation by VAST is distinct from that which is driven by conventional protein carriers. For example, the VAST platform priming steps use an enormous antigenic carrier. The inherent antigenicity of the trypanosome notwithstanding, the size of the organism is substantially larger compared with that of smaller carriers like KLH (an entire eukaryote vs. a large protein). Classically, material of that size is likely to be cleared through phagocytosis, while we hypothesize that smaller carriers are unlikely to stimulate that type of clearance mechanism. The different mechanism by which antigens are recognized, cleared, and processed is likely to lead to noticeably different immune stimulating outcomes (e.g., different types of cytokine and chemokine responses). These differences may facilitate the strong responses driven by VAST that we have observed in the absence of adjuvants. Further, indeed, the VSG proteins (and the rest of the organism) must contain a plethora of immunostimulatory T cell epitopes. Some VSGs may be slightly more or less immunostimulatory than others (which has not yet been carefully explored to our knowledge), although we hypothesize that any VSG with a surface-accessible N terminus for sortagging will be able to stimulate antigen-specific antibody responses.



Importantly, we show that the VAST platform can induce MBCs, which is a crucial quality checkpoint for successful immunizations. Previous studies have shown that conjugate vaccines elicit opioid-specific B cell population subsets, including switched-MBCs,⁴⁹ and that B cell formation is dependent upon GC formation and involvement of cognate CD4+ T cells.^{2,49} Furthermore, vaccination could boost antibodies well beyond the disappearance of the first antibody response.⁶⁰ Here, VAST-elicited MBCs are recalled in mice through at least 16 weeks after the second prime injection, which renders this platform flexible for different immunization regimens and experimental setups.

The single-cell RNA-seq technique used here is not only able to identify the MBC population of interest but also five additional B cell subsets based on subset-specific markers, implying both T cell-dependent and T cell-independent formation. More importantly, it samples deeply enough to pick up several highly similar MBCs based on the usage of the same IGHV and IGKV combinations that appear reproducibly even between mice. In combination with the high expression levels of the Mki67 proliferation marker, this suggests that clonal expansion of the switched-MBC population had occurred. Additionally, we were able to detect two MBCs with nearly identical BCR sequences except for a couple of point mutations (FenAb196 and FenAb208), which also share some somatic hypermutations compared with the germline sequence. strongly suggesting that these cells originated from the same progenitor cell, which is another line of evidence suggesting that the VAST platform is indeed able to induce true immunological memory. Memory cell establishment and memory cell identification are thus both critical components to successful hit identification. We therefore hypothesize that the VAST immunogen and the computational selection method both contribute to the hit identification rate reported here. The VAST immunogen elicits notably boost-responsive titer jumps (Figure 2D), revealing that the overwhelming majority of the titers present at the time of splenocyte harvest are produced by recalled/expanded memory cells. A key advantage associated with the VAST system, therefore, is the ease with which memory cells can be selected through the combined effects of the overall abundance of expanded memory cells relative to naive and through their specific identification by RNA-seq.

Although anti-fentanyl mAbs have been described to effectively protect from fentanyl challenge before, 31-33 the modality of fentanyl binding of these antibodies has only recently been subjected to investigation. Ban et al.32 reported molecular modeling results indicating the presence of a deep pocket in one of two mAbs elicited by immunization with a similar hapten, although these results were generated in silico, while here we report crystal structures. Notably, additional crystal structures of fentanyl-bound mAbs were published during this article's revision process.⁶¹ The crystals reveal important differences related to the residues involved in ligand binding, the overall topology of the antibody-ligand interaction (we observe an approximately 180° inversion in docking mode relative to the published models), and the conformational changes involved in ligand binding (identified through the combination of the crystallography and ITC-based thermodynamics). We hypothesize that

this conformational change that "traps" the fentanyl is key to high affinity and subsequent protection. The trapping effect correlates nicely with the slow K_{off} that we observed by BLI. Without a deep pocket trapping the drug, it is possible that the drug may be bound in a less stable fashion and thus more readily leech back out into circulation.

The deep pocket is also most likely responsible for the high specificity of the antibodies, as only very similar small and elongated structures would be able to fit. Antibodies elicited by this immunization scheme show no evidence of being "pan-opioid" and thereby remain promising preclinical candidates. For instance, antibodies previously raised against the fentanyl hapten used in our studies showed selectivity for fentanyl and the closely related sufentanil and acetylfentanyl but not methadone, buprenorphine, naloxone, naltrexone, and other critical care medications such as anesthetics.²⁷ This specificity also has positive implications for eventual human use from a safety perspective, as we hypothesize that mAbs whose binding is dependent on this structural motif would be less likely to non-specifically interact with human proteins and lead to toxicity at a variety of mAb dosages. It remains difficult to predict mAb doses required for protection in the field, however, since the fentanyl doses will vary from case to case. A therapeutic of this nature, such as FenAb208 delivered routinely as a prophylactic, would thereby need to be combined with additional existing substance use disorder mitigation strategies. We maintain that immunotherapeutics have an important role to play in the future of this field as an adduct to all options currently in development. Nevertheless, there may remain concerns that the bound drug could have a biologically negative impact in vivo over time, i.e., through prolonged neural adaptation driven by a slow leeching effect from the antibody if the drug-bound mAb remains in circulation. Longitudinal studies will need to be performed in the future in order to identify the mechanism by which antibody-trapped fentanyl is ultimately cleared from the system and whether any longterm neural toxicity can be observed.

In conclusion, the VAST is a platform that elicits and identifies high-quality mAbs for direct therapeutic or research applications. This epitope-focused platform may serve as a key to help unlock certain fields of therapeutic antibody development that have yet to be successfully explored. Future studies will address the hypothesis that the VAST platform can elicit high-affinity antibodies for a wide variety of different antigen classes, many of which are already underway (Figure 1C).

Limitations of the study

The antibodies elicited in this study have murine variable domains and will thus need to eventually be humanized/de-immunized by any one of various technology platforms prior to human use. There is a risk associated with this process; humanization may result in a decrease in antibody efficacy, likely through a drop in binding affinity. Ideally, we would avoid this limitation in the future by using genetically modified mice with humanized antibody systems during the immunization process. However, we have yet to validate the effectiveness of the VAST platform in these humanized mice, which remains a key future direction.



Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead contact
 - Materials availability
 - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Mice
 - *T. brucei brucei* parasites
 - Cell lines used for antibody production
 - O Bacteria
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Experimental design
 - Synthesis of fentanyl derivatives
 - Purification of Srt-VSG3 protein
 - Sortagging of soluble Srt-VSG3
 - Sortagging of intact *T. brucei brucei*
 - Estimation of the sortagging surface coverage
 - O UV irradiation of sortagged T. brucei brucei
 - Mouse immunizations
 - Serum ELISA
 - Antinociception
 - Straub-Tail reaction
 - Analysis of fentanyl concentrations in tissue
 - Flow cytometry and single cell sorting
 - SMART-seq 2.5 library preparations
 - Single cell RNA sequencing
 - Transcriptome analysis
 - Quality control, normalization, and feature
 - Data integration
 - Marker gene identification
 - BCR sequencing analysis
 - Monoclonal antibody (mAB) cloning
 - mAB expression and purification
 - BLI and ITC
 - Relative affinity by competition ELISA
 - Passive immunization
 - LABORAS
 - Crystallography of Fab-ligand complexes
 - Plots and figures
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112049.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge synchrotron time at the Paul Scherrer Institut, Villingen, Switzerland (SLS, beamline PXIII, Vincent Olieric and colleagues). We acknowledge the DKFZ Flow Cytometry core facility and the DKFZ Single-Cell Open Lab (scOpenLab). We acknowledge Dr. Claudia Pitzer and Barbara Kurpiers of the Interdisciplinary Neurobehavioral Core (INBC) facility, Heidelberg, for the use of the LABORAS system and the hot plate and their assistance. We thank Dustin Hicks, Jenny Vigliaturo



(University of Minnesota), Elias Amro (DKFZ), and Vanessa Hofmann for key experimental assistance. This project was funded by grants from the NIH (R01Al097127 to F.N.P. and 1R43DA052960-01 to J.P.V.) and funds from the Helmholtz Foundation (to F.N.P. and C.E.S.). We also acknowledge early contributions by P. Stavropoulos and J. Pinger, who helped initiate the work presented here.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.E.S. and F.N.P. conceived and initiated the project. Immunological work: A.B. and A.K.M. generated fen-G4 and fen-sort. H.H. produced the sortaggable trypanosome lines. A.S., G.T., H.H., and J.P.V. conducted sortagging experiments. A.S. quantified sortagging efficiency. G.T., H.H., and K.U. performed ELISA assays. F.N.P., G.T., H.H., M.C., and S.K. conducted immunization experiments and isolated immunological tissues. F.N.P., G.T., and S.R. and conducted fentanyl challenges and behavioral assays. M.P. coordinated and analyzed MS. G.T. and P.V. baited and sorted splenocytes and also generated cDNA libraries for sequencing. Bioinformatic derivation of antibodies: P.V. conceived and constructed the bioinformatic pipeline and analyzed the SmartSeq data with assistance from G.T. and F.N.P., deriving antibody sequences. Antibody characterization: M.v.S. cloned and produced Fabs, and Y.K. cloned and produced mAbs. C.B. performed ELISA and BLI assays. A.S. performed and analyzed ITC. A.G., F.N.P., G.T., and K.U. performed and analyzed passive therapy experiments. M.B. performed MS. crystallography, and M.v.S. crystallized Fab-fentanyl complexes. J.P.Z. and M.v.S. prepared crystals for beamline data collection, collected the data, and solved the structures. C.E.S., J.P.Z., and M.v.S analyzed the structural data. Manuscript: C.E.S., C.L.M., F.N.P., G.T., J.P.V., and M.P. coordinated the project. C.E.S., G.T., and J.P.V. wrote the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

G.T., C.E.S., F.N.P., and J.P.V. report being shareholders of Panosome GmbH and Hepione Therapeutics and having patents planned, pending, or issued broadly relevant to the work. C.E.S. and F.N.P. report being the managing directors of Panosome GmbH. S.K. reports being a shareholder of Panosome GmbH and Hepione Therapeutics. S.R. and K.U. report being employees of Panosome GmbH. P.V., K.U., M.V.S., J.P.Z., Y.K., A.S., A.K.M, A.B., and H.H. report having patents planned, pending, or issued broadly relevant to the work. M.P. and C.B. have patents pending related to fentanyl haptens, conjugates, and mAbs against fentanyl-like compounds.

Received: June 2, 2022 Revised: December 2, 2022 Accepted: January 13, 2023 Published: January 30, 2023

REFERENCES

- Charles A Janeway, J., Travers, P., Walport, M., and Shlomchik, M.J. (2001). Appendix I. Immunologists' Toolbox, 5th Ed (Immunobiol. Immune Syst. Health Dis.).
- Baruffaldi, F., Raleigh, M.D., King, S.J., Roslawski, M.J., Birnbaum, A.K., Hassler, C., Carroll, F.I., Runyon, S.P., Winston, S., Pentel, P.R., and Pravetoni, M. (2019). Formulation and characterization of conjugate vaccines to reduce opioid use disorders suitable for pharmaceutical manufacturing and clinical evaluation. Mol. Pharm. *16*, 2364–2375. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.8b01296.
- Deng, K., Xu, Z., Chen, M., and Liu, X. (2021). Keyhole limpet hemocyaninconjugated peptides from hepatitis C virus glycoproteins elicit neutralizing antibodies in BALB/c mice. J. Immunol. Res. 2021, 3108157. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/3108157.
- Sen-Kilic, E., Blackwood, C.B., Boehm, D.T., Witt, W.T., Malkowski, A.C., Bevere, J.R., Wong, T.Y., Hall, J.M., Bradford, S.D., Varney, M.E.,



et al. (2019). Intranasal peptide-based FpvA-KLH conjugate vaccine protects mice from Pseudomonas aeruginosa acute murine pneumonia. Front. Immunol. *10*, 2497. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02497.

- Hoogsteder, P.H.J., Kotz, D., van Spiegel, P.I., Viechtbauer, W., Brauer, R., Kessler, P.D., Kalnik, M.W., Fahim, R.E.F., and van Schayck, O.C.P. (2012). The efficacy and safety of a nicotine conjugate vaccine (NicVAX®) or placebo co-administered with varenicline (Champix®) for smoking cessation: study protocol of a phase IIb, double blind, randomized, placebo controlled trial. BMC Publ. Health *12*, 1052. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1471-2458-12-1052.
- Kosten, T.R., Domingo, C.B., Shorter, D., Orson, F., Green, C., Somoza, E., Sekerka, R., Levin, F.R., Mariani, J.J., Stitzer, M., et al. (2014). Vaccine for cocaine dependence: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled efficacy trial. Drug Alcohol Depend. *140*, 42–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.drugalcdep.2014.04.003.
- Shimogawa, M.M., Saada, E.A., Vashisht, A.A., Barshop, W.D., Wohlschlegel, J.A., and Hill, K.L. (2015). Cell surface proteomics provides insight into stage-specific remodeling of the host-parasite interface in trypanosoma brucei. Mol. Cell. Proteomics *14*, 1977–1988. https://doi. org/10.1074/mcp.M114.045146.
- Reinitz, D.M., and Mansfield, J.M. (1990). T-cell-independent and T-celldependent B-cell responses to exposed variant surface glycoprotein epitopes in trypanosome-infected mice. Infect. Immun. 58, 2337–2342. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.58.7.2337-2342.1990.
- Verdi, J., Zipkin, R., Hillman, E., Gertsch, R.A., Pangburn, S.J., Thomson, R., Papavasiliou, N., Sternberg, J., and Raper, J. (2020). Inducible germline IgMs bridge trypanosome lytic factor assembly and parasite recognition. Cell Host Microbe 28, 79–88.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom. 2020.04.012.
- Gkeka, A., Aresta-Branco, F., Triller, G., Vlachou, E.P., Lilic, M., Olinares, P.D.B., Perez, K., Chait, B.T., Blatnik, R., Ruppert, T., et al. (2021). Immunodominant surface epitopes power immune evasion in the African trypanosome. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.20. 453071.
- Mugnier, M.R., Cross, G.A.M., and Papavasiliou, F.N. (2015). The in vivo dynamics of antigenic variation in Trypanosoma brucei. Science 347, 1470–1473. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa4502.
- Stavropoulos, P., and Papavasiliou, F.N. (2010). Using T. brucei as a biological epitope-display platform to elicit specific antibody responses. J. Immunol. Methods 362, 190–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2010. 08.009.
- Susmitha, A., Bajaj, H., and Madhavan Nampoothiri, K. (2021). The divergent roles of sortase in the biology of Gram-positive bacteria. Cell Surf. 7, 100055. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcsw.2021.100055.
- Pinger, J., Chowdhury, S., and Papavasiliou, F.N. (2017). Variant surface glycoprotein density defines an immune evasion threshold for African trypanosomes undergoing antigenic variation. Nat. Commun. 8, 828. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00959-w.
- Hansen, J., Baum, A., Pascal, K.E., Russo, V., Giordano, S., Wloga, E., Fulton, B.O., Yan, Y., Koon, K., Patel, K., et al. (2020). Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. Science 369, 1010–1014. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd0827.
- Brioschi, S., Wang, W.-L., Peng, V., Wang, M., Shchukina, I., Greenberg, Z.J., Bando, J.K., Jaeger, N., Czepielewski, R.S., Swain, A., et al. (2021). Heterogeneity of meningeal B cells reveals a lymphopoietic niche at the CNS borders. Science 373, eabf9277. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abf9277.
- Nguyen, H.T.T., Guevarra, R.B., Magez, S., and Radwanska, M. (2021). Single-cell transcriptome profiling and the use of AID deficient mice reveal that B cell activation combined with antibody class switch recombination and somatic hypermutation do not benefit the control of experimental trypanosomosis. PLoS Pathog. *17*, e1010026. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.ppat.1010026.



- Morgan, D., and Tergaonkar, V. (2022). Unraveling B cell trajectories at single cell resolution. Trends Immunol. 43, 210–229. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.it.2022.01.003.
- Seth, P., Scholl, L., Rudd, R.A., and Bacon, S. (2018). Overdose deaths involving opioids, cocaine, and psychostimulants – United States, 2015–2016. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 67, 349–358. https://doi. org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6712a1.
- Pravetoni, M., and Comer, S.D. (2019). Development of vaccines to treat opioid use disorders and reduce incidence of overdose. Neuropharmacology 158, 107662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2019.06.001.
- Smyth, B.P., Barry, J., Keenan, E., and Ducray, K. (2010). Lapse and relapse following inpatient treatment of opiate dependence. Ir. Med. J. 103, 176–179.
- Zanda, M.T., Floris, G., and Sillivan, S.E. (2021). Drug-associated cues and drug dosage contribute to increased opioid seeking after abstinence. Sci. Rep. *11*, 14825. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-94214-4.
- Torten, M., Miller, C.H., Eisele, J.H., Henderson, G.L., and Benjamini, E. (1975). Prevention of the effects of fentanyl by immunological means. Nature 253, 565–566. https://doi.org/10.1038/253565a0.
- Bremer, P.T., Kimishima, A., Schlosburg, J.E., Zhou, B., Collins, K.C., and Janda, K.D. (2016). Combatting synthetic designer opioids: a conjugate vaccine ablates lethal doses of fentanyl class drugs. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 55, 3772–3775. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511654.
- Hwang, C.S., Smith, L.C., Natori, Y., Ellis, B., Zhou, B., and Janda, K.D. (2018). Efficacious vaccine against heroin contaminated with fentanyl. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 9, 1269–1275. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschemneuro.8b00079.
- Raleigh, M.D., Baruffaldi, F., Peterson, S.J., Le Naour, M., Harmon, T.M., Vigliaturo, J.R., Pentel, P.R., and Pravetoni, M. (2019). A fentanyl vaccine alters fentanyl distribution and protects against fentanyl-induced effects in mice and rats. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *368*, 282–291. https://doi.org/ 10.1124/jpet.118.253674.
- Robinson, C., Gradinati, V., Hamid, F., Baehr, C., Crouse, B., Averick, S., Kovaliov, M., Harris, D., Runyon, S., Baruffaldi, F., et al. (2020). Therapeutic and prophylactic vaccines to counteract fentanyl use disorders and toxicity. J. Med. Chem. 63, 14647–14667. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. jmedchem.0c01042.
- Crouse, B., Wu, M.M., Gradinati, V., Kassick, A.J., Song, D., Jahan, R., Averick, S., Runyon, S., Comer, S.D., and Pravetoni, M. (2022). Efficacy and selectivity of monovalent and bivalent vaccination strategies to protect against exposure to carfentanil, fentanyl, and their mixtures in rats. ACS Pharmacol. Transl. Sci. 5, 331–343. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsptsci.1c00260.
- Barrientos, R.C., Whalen, C., Torres, O.B., Sulima, A., Bow, E.W., Komla, E., Beck, Z., Jacobson, A.E., Rice, K.C., and Matyas, G.R. (2021). Bivalent conjugate vaccine induces dual immunogenic response that attenuates heroin and fentanyl effects in mice. Bioconjug. Chem. 32, 2295–2306. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.1c00179.
- Hicks, D., Baehr, C., Silva-Ortiz, P., Khaimraj, A., Luengas, D., Hamid, F.A., and Pravetoni, M. (2022). Advancing humanized monoclonal antibody for counteracting fentanyl toxicity towards clinical development. Hum. Vaccin. Immunother. *18*, 2122507. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 21645515.2022.2122507.
- Smith, L.C., Bremer, P.T., Hwang, C.S., Zhou, B., Ellis, B., Hixon, M.S., and Janda, K.D. (2019). Monoclonal antibodies for combating synthetic opioid intoxication. J. Am. Chem. Soc. *141*, 10489–10503. https://doi. org/10.1021/jacs.9b04872.
- Ban, B., Barrientos, R.C., Oertel, T., Komla, E., Whalen, C., Sopko, M., You, Y., Banerjee, P., Sulima, A., Jacobson, A.E., et al. (2021). Novel chimeric monoclonal antibodies that block fentanyl effects and alter fentanyl biodistribution in mice. mAbs *13*, 1991552. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 19420862.2021.1991552.



- Baehr, C., Kelcher, A.H., Khaimraj, A., Reed, D.E., Pandit, S.G., AuCoin, D., Averick, S., and Pravetoni, M. (2020). Monoclonal antibodies counteract opioid-induced behavioral and toxic effects in mice and rats. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 375, 469–477. https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet. 120.000124.
- Baehr, C.A., Wu, M.M., Pandit, S.G., Arias-Umana, J., AuCoin, D., and Pravetoni, M. (2022). Pharmacological profiling of anti-fentanyl monoclonal antibodies in combination with naloxone in pre- and post-exposure models of fentanyl toxicity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 381, 129–136. https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.121.001048.
- Carrasco, Y.R., and Batista, F.D. (2006). B cell recognition of membranebound antigen: an exquisite way of sensing ligands. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 18, 286–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2006.03.013.
- Roozendaal, R., Mempel, T.R., Pitcher, L.A., Gonzalez, S.F., Verschoor, A., Mebius, R.E., von Andrian, U.H., and Carroll, M.C. (2009). Conduits mediate transport of low-molecular-weight antigen to lymph node follicles. Immunity 30, 264–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2008.12.014.
- Akkaya, M., Kwak, K., and Pierce, S.K. (2020). B cell memory: building two walls of protection against pathogens. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 20, 229–238. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-019-0244-2.
- Cox, B.M., and Weinstock, M. (1964). Quantitative studies of the antagonism by nalorphine of some of the actions of morphine-like analgesic drugs. Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother. 22, 289–300.
- Riedel, R., Addo, R., Ferreira-Gomes, M., Heinz, G.A., Heinrich, F., Kummer, J., Greiff, V., Schulz, D., Klaeden, C., Cornelis, R., et al. (2020). Discrete populations of isotype-switched memory B lymphocytes are maintained in murine spleen and bone marrow. Nat. Commun. *11*, 2570. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16464-6.
- Shi, W., Liao, Y., Willis, S.N., Taubenheim, N., Inouye, M., Tarlinton, D.M., Smyth, G.K., Hodgkin, P.D., Nutt, S.L., and Corcoran, L.M. (2015). Transcriptional profiling of mouse B cell terminal differentiation defines a signature for antibody-secreting plasma cells. Nat. Immunol. *16*, 663–673. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3154.
- Welch, J.D., Kozareva, V., Ferreira, A., Vanderburg, C., Martin, C., and Macosko, E.Z. (2019). Single-cell multi-omic integration compares and contrasts features of brain cell identity. Cell *177*, 1873–1887.e17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.006.
- Meng, Q.-H., and White, H.N. (2017). CD21int CD23+ follicular B cells express antigen-specific secretory IgM mRNA as primary and memory responses. Immunology 151, 211–218. https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12724.
- King, H.W., Orban, N., Riches, J.C., Clear, A.J., Warnes, G., Teichmann, S.A., and James, L.K. (2021). Single-cell analysis of human B cell maturation predicts how antibody class switching shapes selection dynamics. Sci. Immunol. 6, eabe6291. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abe6291.
- Laidlaw, B.J., and Cyster, J.G. (2021). Transcriptional regulation of memory B cell differentiation. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 21, 209–220. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41577-020-00446-2.
- Cerutti, A., Cols, M., and Puga, I. (2013). Marginal zone B cells: virtues of innate-like antibody-producing lymphocytes. Nat. Rev. Immunol. *13*, 118–132. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3383.
- 46. Gonzales, S.J., Bol, S., Braddom, A.E., Sullivan, R., Reyes, R.A., Ssewanyana, I., Eggers, E., Greenhouse, B., and Bunnik, E.M. (2021). Longitudinal analysis of FcRL5 expression and clonal relationships among classical and atypical memory B cells following malaria. Malar. J. 20, 435. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03970-1.
- Mathew, N.R., Jayanthan, J.K., Smirnov, I.V., Robinson, J.L., Axelsson, H., Nakka, S.S., Emmanouilidi, A., Czarnewski, P., Yewdell, W.T., Schön, K., et al. (2021). Single-cell BCR and transcriptome analysis after influenza infection reveals spatiotemporal dynamics of antigen-specific B cells. Cell Rep. 35, 109286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109286.
- Pérez-Mazliah, D., Gardner, P.J., Schweighoffer, E., McLaughlin, S., Hosking, C., Tumwine, I., Davis, R.S., Potocnik, A.J., Tybulewicz, V.L.,

and Langhorne, J. (2018). Plasmodium-specific atypical memory B cells are short-lived activated B cells. Elife 7, e39800. https://doi.org/10.7554/ eLife.39800.

- Laudenbach, M., Baruffaldi, F., Vervacke, J.S., Distefano, M.D., Titcombe, P.J., Mueller, D.L., Tubo, N.J., Griffith, T.S., and Pravetoni, M. (2015). The frequency of naive and early-activated hapten-specific B cell subsets dictates the efficacy of a therapeutic vaccine against prescription opioid abuse. J. Immunol. *194*, 5926–5936. https://doi.org/10. 4049/jimmunol.1500385.
- Baruffaldi, F., Kelcher, A.H., Laudenbach, M., Gradinati, V., Limkar, A., Roslawski, M., Birnbaum, A., Lees, A., Hassler, C., Runyon, S., and Pravetoni, M. (2018). Preclinical efficacy and characterization of candidate vaccines for treatment of opioid use disorders using clinically viable carrier proteins. Mol. Pharm. *15*, 4947–4962. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. molpharmaceut.8b00592.
- Robinson, C., Baehr, C., Schmiel, S.E., Accetturo, C., Mueller, D.L., and Pravetoni, M. (2019). Alum adjuvant is more effective than MF59 at prompting early germinal center formation in response to peptide-protein conjugates and enhancing efficacy of a vaccine against opioid use disorders. Hum. Vaccin. Immunother. *15*, 909–917. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 21645515.2018.1558697.
- Crouse, B., Robinson, C., Huseby Kelcher, A., Laudenbach, M., Abrahante, J.E., and Pravetoni, M. (2020). Mechanisms of interleukin 4 mediated increase in efficacy of vaccines against opioid use disorders. NPJ Vaccines 5, 99. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-020-00247-7.
- Tomayko, M.M., Steinel, N.C., Anderson, S.M., and Shlomchik, M.J. (2010). Cutting edge: hierarchy of maturity of murine memory B cell subsets. J. Immunol. 185, 7146–7150. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1002163.
- Palm, A.-K.E., and Henry, C. (2019). Remembrance of things past: longterm B cell memory after infection and vaccination. Front. Immunol. 10, 1787.
- Chevrier, S., Emslie, D., Shi, W., Kratina, T., Wellard, C., Karnowski, A., Erikci, E., Smyth, G.K., Chowdhury, K., Tarlinton, D., and Corcoran, L.M. (2014). The BTB-ZF transcription factor Zbtb20 is driven by Irf4 to promote plasma cell differentiation and longevity. J. Exp. Med. *211*, 827–840. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20131831.
- Wang, Y., and Bhattacharya, D. (2014). Adjuvant-specific regulation of long-term antibody responses by ZBTB20. J. Exp. Med. 211, 841–856. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20131821.
- Eisenberg, D., Schwarz, E., Komaromy, M., and Wall, R. (1984). Analysis of membrane and surface protein sequences with the hydrophobic moment plot. J. Mol. Biol. *179*, 125–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(84)90309-7.
- Langedijk, A.C., Spinelli, S., Anguille, C., Hermans, P., Nederlof, J., Butenandt, J., Honegger, A., Cambillau, C., and Plückthun, A. (1999). Insight into odorant perception: the crystal structure and binding characteristics of antibody fragments directed against the musk odorant traseolide. J. Mol. Biol. 292, 855–869. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1999.3101.
- Kyzer, J.L., McGuire, M., Park, H., Belz, T.F., Bonakdar, R., Janda, K.D., and Wenthur, C.J. (2020). Anti-opioid antibodies in individuals using chronic opioid therapy for lower back pain. ACS Pharmacol. Transl. Sci. 3, 896–906. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057.
- Raleigh, M.D., King, S.J., Baruffaldi, F., Saykao, A., Hamid, F.A., Winston, S., LeSage, M.G., Pentel, P.R., and Pravetoni, M. (2021). Pharmacological mechanisms underlying the efficacy of antibodies generated by a vaccine to treat oxycodone use disorder. Neuropharmacology *195*, 108653. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2021.108653.
- Rodarte, J.V., Baehr, C., Hicks, D., Liban, T.L., Weidle, C., Rupert, P.B., Jahan, R., Wall, A., McGuire, A.T., Strong, R.K., et al. (2023). Structures of drug-specific monoclonal antibodies bound to opioids and nicotine reveal a common mode of binding. Structure *31*, 20–32.e5. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.str.2022.11.008.
- Tiller, T., Meffre, E., Yurasov, S., Tsuiji, M., Nussenzweig, M.C., and Wardemann, H. (2008). Efficient generation of monoclonal antibodies from



single human B cells by single cell RT-PCR and expression vector cloning. J. Immunol. Methods 329, 112–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim. 2007.09.017.

- McNicholas, S., Potterton, E., Wilson, K.S., and Noble, M.E.M. (2011). Presenting your structures: the CCP4mg molecular-graphics software. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 67, 386–394. https://doi.org/10. 1107/S0907444911007281.
- de Beer, T.A.P., Berka, K., Thornton, J.M., and Laskowski, R.A. (2014). PDBsum additions. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D292–D296. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkt940.
- Kabsch, W. (2010). XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909047337.
- McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read, R.J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 40, 658–674. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889807021206.
- Adams, P.D., Afonine, P.V., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V.B., Davis, I.W., Echols, N., Headd, J.J., Hung, L.-W., Kapral, G.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., et al. (2010). PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 213–221. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909052925.
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 486–501. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493.
- 69. Joosten, R.P., Long, F., Murshudov, G.N., and Perrakis, A. (2014). The PDB_REDO server for macromolecular structure model optimization. IUCrJ *1*, 213–220. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2052252514009324.
- Krueger, F. (2015). Trim Galore!. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham. ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/.
- Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., and Käller, M. (2016). MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32, 3047–3048. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354.
- Danecek, P., Bonfield, J.K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M.O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S.A., Davies, R.M., and Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience 10, giab008. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008.
- Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15–21. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/bts635.
- Lawrence, M., Huber, W., Pagès, H., Aboyoun, P., Carlson, M., Gentleman, R., Morgan, M.T., and Carey, V.J. (2013). Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. PLoS Comput. Biol. *9*, e1003118. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118.
- Rainer, J., Gatto, L., and Weichenberger, C.X. (2019). ensembldb: an R package to create and use Ensembl-based annotation resources. Bioinformatics 35, 3151–3153. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz031.
- Pagès, H., Carlson, M., Falcon, S., and Li, N. (2020). AnnotationDbi: Manipulation of SQLite-Based Annotations in Bioconductor. https:// doi.org/10.18129/B9.Bioc.AnnotationDbi.
- Amezquita, R.A., Lun, A.T.L., Becht, E., Carey, V.J., Carpp, L.N., Geistlinger, L., Marini, F., Rue-Albrecht, K., Risso, D., Soneson, C., et al. (2020). Orchestrating single-cell analysis with Bioconductor. Nat. Methods 17, 137–145. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0654-x.
- McCarthy, D.J., Campbell, K.R., Lun, A.T.L., and Wills, Q.F. (2017). Scater: pre-processing, quality control, normalization and visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data in R. Bioinformatics 33, 1179–1186. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw777.
- Lun, A.T.L., McCarthy, D.J., and Marioni, J.C. (2016). A step-by-step workflow for low-level analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data with Bioconductor. F1000Res. 5, 2122. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9501.2.



- Carlson, M. (2019). org.Mm.eg.db: Genome Wide Annotation for Mouse. R Package Version 3.8.2. Bioconductor. http://bioconductor.org/ packages/org.Mm.eg.db/.
- Finak, G., McDavid, A., Yajima, M., Deng, J., Gersuk, V., Shalek, A.K., Slichter, C.K., Miller, H.W., McElrath, M.J., Prlic, M., et al. (2015). MAST: a flexible statistical framework for assessing transcriptional changes and characterizing heterogeneity in single-cell RNA sequencing data. Genome Biol. 16, 278. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0844-5.
- Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W.M., Zheng, S., Butler, A., Lee, M.J., Wilk, A.J., Darby, C., Zager, M., et al. (2021). Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell *184*, 3573–3587.e29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048.
- Canzar, S., Neu, K.E., Tang, Q., Wilson, P.C., and Khan, A.A. (2017). BASIC: BCR assembly from single cells. Bioinformatics 33, 425–427. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw631.
- Alquicira-Hernandez, J., and Powell, J.E. (2021). Nebulosa recovers single cell gene expression signals by kernel density estimation. Bioinformatics 37, 2485–2487. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab003.
- Ye, J., Ma, N., Madden, T.L., and Ostell, J.M. (2013). IgBLAST: an immunoglobulin variable domain sequence analysis tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, W34–W40. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt382.
- Gupta, N.T., Vander Heiden, J.A., Uduman, M., Gadala-Maria, D., Yaari, G., and Kleinstein, S.H. (2015). Change-O: a toolkit for analyzing largescale B cell immunoglobulin repertoire sequencing data. Bioinformatics *31*, 3356–3358. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv359.
- Wickham, H. (2009). ggplot2 (Springer). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3.
- Gu, Z., Gu, L., Eils, R., Schlesner, M., and Brors, B. (2014). Circlize implements and enhances circular visualization in R. Bioinformatics *30*, 2811–2812. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu393.
- Wirtz, E., Leal, S., Ochatt, C., and Cross, G.A. (1999). A tightly regulated inducible expression system for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 99, 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0166-6851(99)00002-x.
- Alsford, S., and Horn, D. (2008). Single-locus targeting constructs for reliable regulated RNAi and transgene expression in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. *161*, 76–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2008.05.006.
- Bilbey, D.L., Salem, H., and Grossman, M.H. (1960). The anatomical basis of the straub phenomenon. Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother. 15, 540–543. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.1960.tb00277.x.
- Aceto, M.D., McKEAN, D.B., and Pearl, J. (1969). Effects of opiates and opiate antagonists on the Straub tail reaction in mice. Br. J. Pharmacol. 36, 225–239. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.1969.tb09500.x.
- Nath, C., Gupta, M.B., Patnaik, G.K., and Dhawan, K.N. (1994). Morphine-induced straub tail response: mediated by central mu2-opioid receptor. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 263, 203–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0014-2999(94)90543-6.
- Zarrindast, M.R., Alaei-Nia, K., and Shafizadeh, M. (2001). On the mechanism of tolerance to morphine-induced Straub tail reaction in mice. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 69, 419–424. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0091-3057(01)00519-6.
- Pravetoni, M., Pentel, P.R., Potter, D.N., Chartoff, E.H., Tally, L., and LeSage, M.G. (2014). Effects of an oxycodone conjugate vaccine on oxycodone self-administration and oxycodone-induced brain gene expression in rats. PLoS One 9, e101807. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0101807.
- Picelli, S., Faridani, O.R., Björklund, A.K., Winberg, G., Sagasser, S., and Sandberg, R. (2014). Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smartseq2. Nat. Protoc. 9, 171–181. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.006.
- McInnes, L., Healy, J., Saul, N., and Großberger, L. (2018). UMAP: Uniform Manifold approximation and projection. J. Open Source Softw. 3, 861. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00861.



- Battye, T.G.G., Kontogiannis, L., Johnson, O., Powell, H.R., and Leslie, A.G.W. (2011). iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 67, 271–281. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910048675.
- Liebschner, D., Afonine, P.V., Baker, M.L., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V.B., Croll, T.I., Hintze, B., Hung, L.W., Jain, S., McCoy, A.J., et al. (2019). Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and

electrons: recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol. 75, 861–877. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798319011471.

 Tatsumi, K., Sakashita, G., Nariai, Y., Okazaki, K., Kato, H., Obayashi, E., Yoshida, H., Sugiyama, K., Park, S.-Y., Sekine, J., and Urano, T. (2017).
G196 epitope tag system: a novel monoclonal antibody, G196, recognizes the small, soluble peptide DLVPR with high affinity. Sci. Rep. 7, 43480. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43480.





STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
mouse anti-fentanyl monoclonal antibody	M. Pravetoni, University of Washington	N/A
mouse anti-fentanyl-FITC monoclonal antibody	this paper	N/A
mouse anti-VSG3 monoclonal antibody	Pinger et al., 2017 ¹⁴	N/A
goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP	Jackson Immuno Research	Cat#115-035-062; RRID: AB_2338504
streptavidin-BV785	BioLegend	Cat#405249
rat anti-mouse CD19-BV412	BioLegend	Cat#115537; RRID: AB_10895761
rat anti-mouse IgG1-BV650	BioLegend	Cat#406629; RRID: AB_2716013
rat anti-mouse CD138-BV510	BioLegend	Cat#142521; RRID: AB_2562727
rat anti-mouse GL-7-FITC	BD Pharmingen	Cat#553666; RRID: AB_394981
rat anti-mouse CD38-PE-Cy7	BioLegend	Cat#102718; RRID AB_2275531
goat anti-mouse IgM-biotin	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat#115-065-075, RRID AB_2338566
rat anti-mouse IgD-APC-Cy7	BioLegend	Cat#405716; RRID AB_10662544
streptavidin-HRP	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#N100
Bacterial and virus strains		
E. coli DH5a	Thermofisher	Cat#18265017
E. coli BL21 (DE3)	Thermofisher	Cat#C600003
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
fentanyl-LPSTGG	this paper	N/A
FITC	Abcam	Cat#ab102884
FITC-LPSTGG	this paper	N/A
fen-G4 peptide	this paper	N/A
fentanyl-citrate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#990-73-8
Fentanyl-citrate	West-Ward	N/A
fentanyl solution	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#F-013
fentanyl-hapten-biotin	this paper	N/A
fentanyl	LGC	LGCAMP0528.00-02
fentanyl-d5	LGC	LGCAMP0528.80-02
fentanyl-PE	Marco Pravetoni, University of Washington	N/A
decoy-PE-AF647	Marco Pravetoni, University of Washington	N/A
EDC crosslinker	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#22980
ABTS tablets	Roche	Cat#11112422001
Srt-VSG3 protein	this paper	N/A
Recombinant RNase Inhibitor	Takara Bio Europe S.A.S	Cat#2313A
Penta-His-biotin conjugate	Qiagen	Cat#34440
Sortase A from S. pyogenes	this paper	N/A
Trizma [®] Hydrochlorid -hydrochlorid	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#T3253
Sodium chloride	Carl-Roth	Cat# 3957.1
Imidazole	Carl-Roth	Cat#X998.4
2-Mercaptoethanol	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#M6250
1,4-Dithiothreitol (DTT)	Carl-Roth	Cat#6908.1
LB-broth (Miller)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#L3522-250G



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
HEPES	Carl-Roth	Cat#9105
Dulbecco's PBS	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#D8537
Ammonium sulfate	Carl-Roth	Cat#3746
N-(2-Acetamido)-iminodiacetic acid	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#00307
Polyethelene glycol 400	Merck	Cat#8.07485.1000
Polyethelene glycol 6000	VWR	Cat#26603-293
Glycerol	Carl-Roth	Cat#3783
Ethanol	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#32205-M
Sodium acetate	Carl-Roth	Cat#6773
Triethanolamine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#90279
Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate	Merck	Cat#1.05886.0500
Sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#71402
Ethelene glycol	MP	Cat#151089
Hexylene glycol (MPD)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#112100
HMI-9 Medium	PAN Biotech	SO-15701
FreeStyle 293 Expression Medium	Gibco	Cat#12338-018
Carbonate-bicarbonate buffer capsules	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#C3041
20x PBS Tween 20	Thermo Scientific	Cat#PI28352
Gelatin from porcine skin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#G2500
Octet Streptavidin biosensors	Sartorius	Cat#18-5019
Tramadol hydrochloride	Fagron	20L09-U10-009586
Naloxone hydrochloride dihydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	N7758
Acetonitrile (LC-MS Grade)	Carl Roth	Cat#AE70.2
Phosphoric acid	Carl Roth	Cat#6366.1
Methanol (ROTISOLV®)	Carl Roth	Cat#AE71.1
Formic acid	Carl Roth	Cat#1EHK.1
Ammonium hydroxide	Carl Roth	Cat#6774.1
Ammonium formate	Carl Roth	Cat#5093.1
Critical commercial assays		
Quantum [™] FITC-5 MESF kit	Bangs Laboratories	CAT#555
LIVE/DEAD [™] Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit	Invitrogen	Cat#L23105
Maxima H Minus Reverse Transcriptase, 10000U	ThermoScientific	Cat#EP0752
Kapa High Fidelity Hot Start	Roche	Cat#7958935001
Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (96SMP)	illumina GmbH	Cat#FC-131-1096
QuikChange Lightning site-directed mutagenesis kit	Agilent technologies	Cat#210513
Pierce [™] Protein G Agarose	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#20397
Gibco TM	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#12347750
	Qiagan	Cat#20220
SigmaEAST OPD	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#201230
Signardov 200 lasteres 10/200 CL selver	Sigma-Aidhch	Cat#09 0000 44
Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GE column		Cat#17 0510 01
Q-Sepharose Fast-Flow		Cat#17-0510-01
NEBuilder Master Mix	New England Biolabs	Cat#M552UAA
Phusion HiFi DNA Polymerase	New England Biolabs	Cat#M0530L
FreeStyle MAX Reagent	Gibco	Cat#16447100
GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit	Thermo Scientific	Cat#K0502
Monarch® DNA Gel Extraction Kit	New England Biolabs	Cat#T1020S
Q5® High-Fidelity 2x Master Mix	New England Biolabs	Cat#M0492S
Agel-HF®	New England Biolabs	Cat#R3552S

CellPress OPEN ACCESS



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Sall-HF®	New England Biolabs	Cat#R3138S
BsiWI-HF®	New England Biolabs	Cat#R3553S
EcoRI-HF®	New England Biolabs	Cat#R3101S
Deposited data		
Crystal structures	Protein DataBank	PDB codes: 7QT0, 7QT2, 7QT3, 7QT4
RNA-seq data	GEO	Upon request
Experimental models: Cell lines		
FreeStyle 293F	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#R79007
Experimental models: Organisms/Strains		
C57BL/6JRj	Javier-labs	Cat#C57BL/6JRj
Trypanosoma brucei brucei Lister 427 2T1 Srt-VSG3-S317A GPI-PLC WT	Pinger et al., 2017 ¹⁴	N/A
Trypanosoma brucei brucei Lister 427 Srt-VSG3-S317A GPI-PLC –/–	this paper	N/A
Oligonucleotides		
dNTP Mix (10 mM each)-1 mL	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#R0192
Oligonucleotides	See Table S2	N/A
Recombinant DNA		
IGγ1-, IGκ- or IG λ -expression vectors	Tiller et al., 2008 ⁶²	N/A
Fab heavy and light chain expression vectors	Mirjana Lilic, The Rockefeller University	N/A
Sortase A from Streptococcus pyogenes with N-terminal His ₆ -Tag in pET28a expression vector	this paper	N/A
Software and algorithms		
FlowJo v10.0.8	Tree Star	https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/ flowjo/downloads
GraphPad Prism v6.07	GraphPad	http://www.graphpad.com
R v4.0.3 and v4.1	The R project for statistical computing	http://www.R-project.org/
FACSDiVa v8.0.1	Becton Dickinson	Cat#659528
Adobe Illustrator CS6 v16.0.3	Adobe	http://www.adobe.com/de/ products/illustrator.html
Adobe Photoshop 2021 v22.0.0	Adobe	https://www.adobe.com/de/ products/photoshop
Microsoft PowerPoint v16	Microsoft	https://www.microsoft.com/ de-de/microsoft-365/powerpoint
Microsoft Excel v16	Microsoft	https://www.microsoft.com/ de-de/microsoft-365/excel
CCP4MG v2.10.11	McNicholas et al., 2011 ⁶³ ; de Beer et al., 2014 ⁶⁴ ; https://pymol.org/2/	https://www.ccp4.ac.uk/MG/
Biorender	Biorender	https://biorender.com/
XDS	Kabsch et al., 2010 ⁶⁵	http://xds.mpimf-heidelberg.mpg.de
Phaser	McCoy et al., 2007 ⁶⁶	https://www.phenix-online.org
Phenix	Adams et al., 2010 ⁶⁷	https://www.phenix-online.org
Coot	Emsley et al., 2010 ⁶⁸	https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/ personal/pemsley/coot/
PDB-redo	Joosten et al., 2014 ⁶⁹	https://pdb-redo.eu/
PyMol v2.4.2	N/A	https://pymol.sourceforge.net
TrimGalore v0.6.4_dev	Krueger et al., 2015 ⁷⁰	http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/ projects/trim_galore/



Continued				
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER		
MultiQC v0.4	Ewels et al., 2016 ⁷¹	https://multiqc.info/		
FastQC v0.11.9	N/A	https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/ projects/fastqc/		
Samtools v1.9	Danecek et al., 2021 ⁷²	http://www.htslib.org/		
STAR v2.6.0a	Dobin et al., 2013 ⁷³	https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR		
Bioconductor v3.12	Bioconductor Software Packages	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.12/bioc/		
Bioconductor v3.14	Bioconductor Software Packages	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.14/bioc/		
GenomicFeatures v1.42.3	Lawrence et al., 2013 ⁷⁴	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.12/bioc/html/ GenomicFeatures.html		
Ensembldb v2.14.1	Rainer et al., 2019 ⁷⁵	https://bioconductor.org/packages /3.12/bioc/html/ensembldb.html		
GenomicAlignments v1.26.0	Lawrence et al., 2013 ⁷⁴	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.12/bioc/html/ GenomicAlignments.html		
AnnotationDbi v1.52.0	Pagès et al., 2020 ⁷⁶	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.12/bioc/html/ AnnotationDbi.html		
SingleCellExperiment v1.16.0	Amezquita et al., 2020 ⁷⁷	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.14/bioc/html/ SingleCellExperiment.html		
scater v1.22	McCarthy et al., 2017 ⁷⁸	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.14/bioc/html/ scater.html		
scran v1.22.1	Lun et al., 2016 ⁷⁹	https://bioconductor.org/ packages/3.14/bioc/html/scran.html		
org.Mm.eg.db v3.12.0	Carlson et al., 2019 ⁸⁰	https://bioconductor.statistik.tu- dortmund.de/packages/3.12/ data/annotation/html/org.Mm.eg.db.html		
rLiger v1.0.0	Welch et al., 2019 ⁴¹	https://www.rdocumentation.org/ packages/rliger/versions/1.0.0		
MAST v1.16.0	Finak et al., 2015 ⁸¹	https://bioconductor.org/packages/ 3.12/bioc/html/MAST.html		
Seurat v4.0	Hao et al., 2021 ⁸²	https://satijalab.org/seurat/		
BASIC v1.5.0	Canzar et al., 2017 ⁸³	https://people.cs.uchicago.edu/ ~aakhan/BASIC/		
Blast v2.11.0	Alquicira-Hernandez et al., 2021 ⁸⁴	https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Blast.cgi?CMD=Web&PAGE_ TYPE=BlastNews		
lgblast v1.16.0	Ye et al., 2013 ⁸⁵	https://ncbi.github.io/igblast/		
Change-O v1.0.0	Gupta et al., 2015 ⁸⁶	https://changeo.readthedocs.io/en/ stable/		
Shazam v1.1.0	Gupta et al., 2015 ⁸⁶	https://shazam.readthedocs.io/ en/stable/#shazam		
ggplot2 v3.3.5	Wickham, 2009 ⁸⁷	https://github.com/tidyverse/ ggplot2/releases/tag/v3.3.5		
gridExtra v2.3	B. Auguie	https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/gridExtra/index.html		
ggrepel v0.9.1	K. Slowikowski	https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/ggrepel/index.html		

CellPress OPEN ACCESS

Cell Reports Article

Continued				
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER		
Circlize v0.4.13	Gu et al., 2014 ⁸⁸	https://mran.revolutionanalytics.com/ snapshot/2021-11-01/web/packages/ circlize/index.html		
Octet DataAnalysis v12.0.2.3	Sartorius	N/A		
LABORAS v2	Metris	https://www.metris.nl/en/products/ laboras/laboras2/		
Analyst v1.6	Sciex	https://de-store.sciex.com/ de/EUR/software		
MassHunter	Agilent	https://www.agilent.com/en/ product/software-informatics/ mass-spectrometry-software		

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dr. Joseph P. Verdi (joey.verdi@hepionetx.com or j.verdi@dkfz-heidelberg.de).

Materials availability

All newly generated materials produced by these studies are available for distribution under certain conditions. The VAST platform, and all key reagents associated with it or derived from its use in animals, are licensed for commercial purposes to Panosome GmbH and/or Hepione Therapeutics. These companies must authorize any material distributions to third parties and be privy to the associated material transfer agreements established therein.

Data and code availability

- Crystal structure files have been deposited at the Protein DataBank and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table. Single-cell RNA-seq data have been deposited at GEO. To request access, contact lead contact. Original western blot images reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mice

Female healthy wildtype C57BL/6J mice (6-8-weeks old) were purchased from Janvier Labs and handled in accordance with the German Animal Protection Law (§8 Tierschutzgesetz) and their use was approved by the Regierungspräsidium Karlsruhe, Germany (project numbers Aktenzeichen 35–9185.81/G-285/18). Mice were randomly assigned to experimental groups which were kept in separate cages.

T. brucei brucei parasites

All trypanosome cell lines used in this study were bloodstream-form trypanosomes ultimately derived from the Lister 427 cell line.⁸⁹ Trypanosomes were cultivated in HMI-9 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C and 5% CO₂. All trypanosomes used in this study expressed a modified version of VSG3 (S317A). The S317A variant was genetically engineered to lack an *O*-linked glycan present at the 317th residue of the wild-type counterpart. The newly engineered variant (Srt-VSG3) additionally contains the sortagging motif AA on the N-terminus of the VSG that has been extended above the top of the surface coat through the addition of a linker region consisting of 3 Gly-Gly-Gly-Gly-Ser motifs. They were either expressing (GPI-PLC WT) or lacking GPI-PLC (GPI-PLC -/-). The GPI-PLC WT cells used were the 2T1 cell line,⁹⁰ while the GPI-PLC -/- counterparts were derived from the 2T1s in-house using knockout vectors kindly provided by Dr. M. Carrington. GPI-PLC -/- cells lack the ability to shed their VSG and thus stay intact during UV irradiation.

Article

Cell Reports



Cell lines used for antibody production

Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) "FreeStyle" 293F cells (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat# R79007) were grown in tissue culture flasks in FreeStyle[™] 293 Expression Medium (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat# 12338018). Cells were incubated at 37°C with 5–8% CO₂ on a shaking platform operating at 120–130 rpm.

Bacteria

E. coli strain DH5a was grown in LB-broth (Miller) medium (Sigma, Cat# L3522-250G) for cultivation and plasmid purification. *E. coli* strain BL21 was also grown in LB-broth (Miller) medium for cultivation and sortase expression. Bacteria were cultivated at 37°C in shaking incubators rotating at 180 rpm.

METHOD DETAILS

Experimental design

Female mice were used in all experiments and were randomly assigned to the various experimental groups. All experiments were performed using age-matched mice from 6 to 8 weeks old at the start of the experiment. Similar vaccination experiments to those shown in Figure 2 were performed extensively with similar results. The single-cell sequencing approach for B cell repertoire analysis was performed after consulting the literature for known markers and ensuring that population identification was robust. Statistical analyses are detailed throughout the manuscript.

Synthesis of fentanyl derivatives

Lithium 5-oxo-5-((2-(4-(N-phenylpropionamido)piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)pentanoate (3): To a solution of the bis trifluoroacetate salt of amine 1 (compound 5 in Raleigh et al.²⁶; 16.513 g, 32.8 mol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (250 mL) was added pyridine (15.9 mL, 197 mmol, 6.0 equiv.) followed by glutaric acid monomethyl ester chloride (4.54 mL, 32.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) at 0°C under argon. After addition, the cooling bath was removed and after 16 h, the reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (3 × 200 mL) and brine (100 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by column chromatography (5%–7.5% gradient of MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to give ester **2** (9.79 g, 74%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, CHCl₃ referenced to 7.26 ppm) δ 7.40–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.06–7.02 (m, 2H), 6.00 (br s, 1H), 4.58 (tt, *J* = 12.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (s, 3H), 3.22 (q, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.83 (m, 2H), 2.36 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.12 (t, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.07 (m, 2H), 1.89–1.81 (m, 4H), 1.76–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.32 (m, 2H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3, CHCl3 referenced to 77.16 ppm) δ 173.7, 173.6, 172.1, 139.0, 130.4, 129.4, 128.4, 56.7, 53.0, 52.3, 51.6, 36.2, 35.4, 33.1, 30.5, 28.6, 20.9, 9.7 ppm; LC/MS (ESI⁺) m/z = 404.2. To a solution of methyl ester 2 (9.65 g, 23.9 mmol) in MeOH (120 mL) was added a solution of LiOH (1.72 g, 71.7 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) in H₂O (30 mL) at room temperature. After 22 h, the reaction was partially concentrated in vacuo and completely dried via lyophilization to give unpurified lithium carboxylate **3** as a white powder (9.06 g, ~85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 7.53–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 2H), 4.45 (tt, J = 12.2, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (t, J = 7Hz, 2H), 2.91 (m, 2H), 2.45 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.25–2.11 (m, 6H), 1.97 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.82–1.73 (m, 4H), 1.32 (dq, J = 12.5, 3.5, 2H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O, referenced to external TSP = 0.0 ppm) δ 185.3, 179.8, 179.1, 140.7, 132.6, 132.4, 131.8, 58.4, 55.7, 54.9, 39.6, 39.1, 38.3, 32.0, 31.2, 25.2, 12.1 ppm; LC/MS (ESI⁻) m/z = 388.2. This material was used without further purification for solid phase synthesis.

Solid-phase peptide synthesis procedure definitions:

WASH: The resin is suspended in DMF (3 mL) with agitation for 2 min, followed by disposal of the solvent. This process is repeated two more times for a total of three washes.

Fmoc-OFF: The resin is suspended in a 20% solution of piperidine in DMF (3 mL) with agitation for 5 min, followed by disposal of the solution. This process is repeated one more time, but with an incubation time of 7 min.

COUPLING (name of coupling partner): A DMF solution (3 mL) containing "name of coupling partner" (4.0 M equivalents relative to the initial resin loading), PyBOP (4.0 equiv.), and *i*-Pr₂NEt (8.0 equiv) is prepared and pre-activated for 10 min. The resin is then suspended and agitated in this cocktail for 1 h (unless otherwise noted), followed by disposal of the solution.

Fen-G4: Briefly, fen-G4 was prepared by solid-phase peptide synthesis starting with a glycine-labeled resin, followed by coupling to Fmoc-GGG-OH (BACHEM) and then compound **3**. In detail, a syringe (5 mL) for peptide synthesis (frit made of PE) was charged with pre-loaded Fmoc-G-SASRIN resin (417 mg, loading: 0.72 mmol/g, BACHEM) and swelled for 1 h in DMF (3 mL). The synthesis procedure then proceeded according to the above definitions: *WASH*, *Fmoc-OFF*, *COUPLING* (Fmoc-GGG-OH, BACHEM, for 2 h), *WASH*, *Fmoc-OFF*, *WASH*, *COUPLING* (compound **3**), *WASH*. The resin was then washed (2 min) with CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) three times. For cleavage, the resin was treated (5 min) with 80% trifluoroacetic (TFA) in water (3 mL) twice, wherein the resin beads became dark purple. The two solutions were combined and concentrated in vacuo. This residue was dissolved in water (ca. 25 mL) and lyophilized. The product was purified via reverse-phase chromatography (C18 silica, 1 to 40% gradient of MeCN in H₂O) and then lyophilized with a few drops of added TFA to obtain fen-G4 as a trifluoroacetate salt (49 mg, 0.067 mmol, 22%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O, HOD referenced to 3.79 ppm) δ 7.57–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.23 (m, 2H), ~4.75 (1H, obscured by solvent, confirmed by HSQC), 3.99 (s, 6H), 3.93 (s, 2H), 3.66 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 3.54 (m, 2H), 3.23 (m, 2H), 3.16 (t, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 2H), 2.33–2.22 (m, 4H), 2.13 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.84 (app pent, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.64 (q, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 2H), 0.94 (t, 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O, referenced to internal MeOD = 49.5 ppm) d 178.9, 178.2, 177.9, 174.7, 173.8, 173.5, 173.2, 164.4 (q, ²_{JCF} = 36.4 Hz), 138.5, 131.2 (2C), 130.7,





117.7 (q, ${}^{1}J_{CF}$ = 291.3 Hz), 57.4, 53.8, 51.2, 43.9 (2C), 43.7, 42.5, 35.8 (2C), 35.6, 29.7, 28.8, 22.4, 10.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁻) *m/z* calcd for [C₂₉H₄₂N₇O₈⁻]: 616.3100; found: 616.3104.

Sortaggable Fentanyl (fen-sort): Briefly, fen-sort was prepared by solid-phase peptide synthesis starting with a glycine-labeled resin, followed by sequential coupling to Fmoc-protected G, T, S, P, L, S, G, G, G (all from BACHEM), and then compound 3. In detail, a syringe (5 mL) for peptide synthesis (frit made of PE) was charged with pre-loaded Fmoc-G-SASRIN resin (417 mg, loading: 0.72 mmol/g, BACHEM) and swelled for 1 h in DMF (3 mL). The synthesis procedure then proceeded according to the above definitions: WASH, Fmoc-OFF, COUPLING (Fmoc-G-OH), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-T(t-Bu)-OH), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-S(t-Bu)-OH; Note: collidine was used instead of i-Pr2NEt in this step), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-P-OH), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-L-OH), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-S(t-Bu)-OH; Note: collidine was used instead of i-Pr2NEt in this step), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-G-OH), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-G-OH), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (Fmoc-G-OH), WASH, Fmoc-OFF, WASH, COUPLING (compound 3), repeat COUPLING (compound 3), WASH. The resin was then washed (2 min) with CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) three times. For cleavage the resin was treated (5 min) with a cocktail of 90% TFA, 5% water and 5% triisopropylsilane (3 mL) three times, during which the resin beads became dark purple. The three washings were combined and stirred for 1 h, and then added dropwise to cold Et₂O (50 mL). The obtained precipitate was filtered and washed thoroughly with cold Et₂O. The solid residue was taken up in water and lyophilized. The product was purified via reverse-phase MPLC (C18 silica, 1 to 40% gradient of MeCN in H₂O) and then lyophilized with a few drops of added TFA to obtain fen-sort as a trifluoroacetate salt (99 mg, 0.078 mmol, 26%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O, HOD referenced to 3.79 ppm) d 7.56–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.24 (m, 2H), ~4.75 (1H, obscured by solvent, confirmed by HSQC), 4.65 (dd, J = 9.9, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.52–4.44 (m, 3H), 4.41 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (dq, J = 6.4, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.03–3.97 (m, 7H), 3.96–3.91 (m, 3H), 3.90–3.81 (m, 4H), 3.70–3.63 (m, 3H), 3.55 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.24 (d, J = 6.2 Hz J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.20–3.12 (m, 2H), 2.35–2.23 (m, 5H), 2.14 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 2H), 2.08–1.90 (m, 5H), 1.85 (sept, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.72–1.55 (m, 5H), 1.22 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.97–0.90 (m, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O, referenced to internal MeOD = 49.5 ppm) d 178.9, 178.2, 177.9, 175.8, 174.5, 174.1, 173.8 (3C), 173.5, 173.1, 172.8 (2C), 164.3 (q, ²J_{CF} = 35.6 Hz), 138.5, 131.2 (2C), 130.7, 117.8 (q, ¹J_{CF} = 291.6 Hz), 68.4, 62.6, 62.3, 61.9, 60.5, 57.4, 57.0, 56.8, 53.8, 51.8, 51.2, 49.3, 43.9 (2C), 43.8 (2C), 42.4, 40.5, 35.8 (2C), 35.6, 30.8, 29.7, 28.8, 26.1, 25.8, 23.9, 22.4, 22.1, 20.2, 10.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁻) m/z calcd. for [C₅₂H₈₀N₁₃O₁₇⁻]: 1158.5801; found: 1158.5810.

Purification of Srt-VSG3 protein

Srt-VSG3 protein was purified from *T. brucei* PLC WT expressing Srt-VSG3 as described elsewhere.¹⁴ Briefly, cells were cultured *in vitro* in HMI-9 media to a density of 4×10^6 cells/mL. Cells were pelleted, lysed in 0.2 mM ZnCl₂ + HALT protease inhibitor and then the lysis mixture was centrifuged at 10,000xg for 10 min. The pellet which contained the membrane material was resuspended in pre-warmed (40°C) 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5 with 150 mM sodium chloride (NaCl), enabling the activation of endogenous lipases and resulting in the efficient release of surface VSG protein from the membrane. The membranous material was then pelleted two more times, while supernatants (containing soluble VSG) were collected. Supernatants were loaded onto an anion-exchange column (Q-Sepharose Fast-Flow, GE Healthcare), which had been equilibrated with 20 mM HEPES buffer with 150 mM NaCl (the VSG does not bind to the column, while contaminating proteins are trapped). Srt-VSG3 was then separated from remaining contaminants and aggregation products via a gel filtration column (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 20 mM HEPES buffer with 150 mM NaCl. Aliquots from the gel filtration runs were analyzed on SDS–PAGE for visual inspection (Figure S2B).

Sortagging of soluble Srt-VSG3

Sortase A was expressed and purified as described.¹⁴ Sortagging solutions containing 100 μ M purified sortase A and 300 μ M of fentanyl-LPSTGG in PBS or 20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 were incubated on ice for 30 min. Purified Srt-VSG3 protein was added to the sortagging solution at a concentration of not higher than 2 mg/mL and incubated for 2 h at 37°C while gently shaking and then at 4°C rotating overnight. Fentanyl-sortagged Srt-VSG3 protein was re-purified via gel filtration (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare), concentrated to not higher than 2 mg/mL, and flash frozen for storage at -80°C until further use.

Sortagging of intact T. brucei brucei

In order to avoid the naturally occurring shedding of the VSG coat, a protection mechanism of trypanosomes from antibody attachment in the host, Srt-VSG3 PLC-/- *T. brucei* was used throughout the whole paper. Srt-VSG3 PLC-/- *T. brucei* expresses VSG3 on the surface and lacks the GPI-PLC enzyme that is otherwise responsible for the natural VSG shedding. For sortagging whole trypanosomes, the cells were incubated in a sortagging solution containing 100 μ M purified sortase A and 300 μ M of fen-sort in HMI-9 media on ice for 30 min. PLC -/- trypanosomes expressing Srt-VSG3 were pelleted, resuspended in the sortagging solution at a concentration of 10⁸ cells/mL, and incubated for 2h at 4°C on an inversion rotator. Cells were then pelleted, washed once with HMI-9 media, and pelleted again before resuspension in HMI-9 or staining solution containing an anti-fentanyl monoclonal antibody (provided by M. Pravetoni, University of Minnesota) conjugated to FITC (Abcam, ab102884) for analyzing sortagging success. Trypanosomes were immediately analyzed using a BD FACS Calibur and FlowJo v10 software.



Estimation of the sortagging surface coverage

Trypanosomes were sortagged with FITC-LPSTGG as a proxy for fentanyl and other haptens. Cells were sortagged in a time course at 4°C, and the fluorescence readings were recorded by flow cytometry. For the standard curve, the QuantumTM MESF kit was obtained from Bangs Laboratories, containing one blank bead population and a series of five fluorescent bead populations labeled with varying amounts of FITC. The FACS signal from each bead population was recorded with the same PMT settings as the cells in order to establish a calibration curve that relates instrument channel values and standardized fluorescence intensity units (MESF). The background value of the reaction without sortase was subtracted from the corresponding signal of the FITC sortagged trypanosome samples for each time point. The subtracted values were then read against the MESF beads curve for determination of conjugation efficiency (i.e., quantitation of the fluorescent signal from each time point). The sortagging percentage was then calculated assuming 10⁷ as the maximum number of VSG molecules on the surface of each individual cell corresponding to 100% labeling.

UV irradiation of sortagged T. brucei brucei

After sortagging with fentanyl, the trypanosomes were pelleted, washed with irradiation buffer (PBS-glucose (10 g/L)) and resuspended in irradiation buffer to a density of 10⁸ cells/mL. A volume of 1 mL of this resuspension was aliquoted into each well of a non-treated six-well tissue culture plate. Plates were UV-irradiated for four times in 1 min intervals using an FB-UVXL-1000 UV crosslinker. Plates were swirled between 1 min intervals to ensure equal irradiation of trypanosomes. Complete irradiation was confirmed using a microscope and cells were counted and diluted for immunization.

Mouse immunizations

Five 6–8 weeks old female C57BL/6J mice per group were primed at day 0 and 14 or 30 with 5×10^{6} intact UV-irradiated trypanosomes, either sortagged or not sortagged with fentanyl, and without adjuvant via subcutaneous injection. The mice then received a booster of 100 µg of soluble Srt-VSG3, either sortagged or not sortagged with fentanyl (without adjuvant) at days 42 and 70 or days 60 and 90. Serum samples were taken 2 days before and one week after injection.

Serum ELISA

The fen-G4 peptide was conjugated to BSA via EDC crosslinking as a heterologous carrier protein and used to coat 96-well ELISA plates at 10 μ g/mL overnight at 4°C. Similarly, plates were coated with FPLC-purified Srt-VSG3 protein at 5 μ g/well. Plates were blocked for 1.5 h at RT with 4% BSA in PBS. Coated plates were incubated with the serum for 1.5 h at RT. As a control, an anti-VSG3 monoclonal antibody or an anti-fentanyl monoclonal antibody were used at a starting concentration of 1 μ g/mL or 5 μ g/mL, respectively, and diluted 4-fold. Bound antibodies were detected by goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody coupled to horse-radish peroxidase (HRP) (Jackson Immuno Research) diluted 1:3,000 in PBS with 1% BSA, which was then resolved using an ABTS substrate solution complimented with H₂O₂ (Roche). The optical density (OD) at 405 nm was determined on an M1000Pro plate reader (Tecan) after 40 min. Graphs depicting the calculated midpoint titers were generated with GraphPad Prism v9.

Antinociception

Analgesia was tested by using the hot plate assay as described by Cox and Weinstock.³⁸ Baseline nociception of the mice was measured by placing them on a hot plate at 54° C and measuring the latency to a response (in seconds) like flipping or licking a paw or jumping. In order to avoid tissue damage, the test was aborted (in the absence of an observable reaction) after 60 s. Baseline measurements were always recorded prior to any manipulation. Mice were then injected subcutaneously with a cumulative dose of 100 µg/kg fentanyl (split between two individual injections of 50 µg/kg). The effect of fentanyl was measured as latency to response (seconds) as well as the percentage maximum possible effect (%MPE). %MPE was calculated as the post-test latency minus the baseline latency divided by the maximum effect time (10 s) minus the baseline latency times 100.²⁶ All experimental studies were conducted multiple times using a blinded observational style of data collection.

Straub-Tail reaction

The Straub tail reaction is a dorsiflexion of the tail that is often almost vertical to the orientation of the body or curling back over the animal, often coupled with stereotyped walking behavior.⁹¹ This phenomenon was first described as a response to opiates in mice (Straub (1911) cited by (Bilbey, Salem and Grossmann, 1960^{91})), and is thought to be mediated by activation of the opioid receptor system because opioid receptor antagonists such as naloxone block the phenomenon.^{92–94} The Straub-Tail reaction was recorded as present (+) or absent (–).

Analysis of fentanyl concentrations in tissue *Preparation of brain samples*

Brain tissue was homogenized using Agilent ceramic beads for 4 min with a Beadblaster 24 homogenizer (Benchmark Scientific, Sayreville, NJ) at a speed of 7 m/s, then centrifuged briefly to reduce bubbles. The homogenate was transferred to a cryogenic tube and placed at -20° C until time of extraction.



Sample extraction

Extraction of serum, brain homogenate, and standards was performed at 4°C. For standards, 20 μ L of stock calibrator solution was added to 180 μ L of fetal bovine serum. 200 μ L of sample was used for extraction with 20 μ L of internal standard solution added to all samples. 600 μ L of cold LC-MS grade acetonitrile was added to 1.6 mL microcentrifuge tubes to precipitate proteins and then centrifuged at 8,609xg for 10 min. Supernatant was transferred to a 2 mL 96-well collection plate, evaporated to 200 μ L on a Mini Vap (Porvair Sciences, Wrexham, UK) and then diluted 1:4 with 2% phosphoric acid. Extraction was performed using Bond Elut 96, Plexa PCX, 1 mL, 30 mg (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Cartridges were first washed with 500 μ L methanol followed by 500 μ L water and then samples were loaded onto the plate. The plate was washed in series, first using 600 μ L of 2% formic acid followed by 600 μ L 1:1 methanol/acetonitrile. The plate was dried on a Positive Pressure Manifold (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA), placed above a fresh round bottom 1 mL 96-well collection plate to elute samples using 750 μ L of 5% ammonium hydroxide in 1:1 methanol/acetonitrile, and dried on the Mini Vap. Samples were reconstituted in 200 μ L LC-MS grade water, 0.1% ammonium formate, 0.01% LC-MS grade formic acid (mobile phase A).

LC-MS/MS conditions

2 μ L of sample were injected onto a reversed phase Agilent (Santa Clara, CA) Poroshell 120 SB-C18 column (2.1 mm × 50 mm 2.7 μ m). The LC-MS/MS system consisted of an Agilent G6470A triple quadrupole with an Infinity II 1290 G7116B Multicolumn Thermostat, G7120A High Speed Quad Pumps, G7267B Multisampler. The samples were kept at 4°C during injection. Gradient elution was performed with a mixture of mobile phase A and methanol, 0.01% formic acid (mobile phase B) as follows: 0–0.5 min 5% mobile phase B, 0.5–2.25 min 15 \rightarrow 50% mobile phase B, 2.25–4.0 min 50 \rightarrow 95% mobile phase B, 4.0–6.0 95% mobile phase B. The flow rate was kept at a constant 0.40 mL/min and the total run time was 6 min. Electrospray ionization was achieved by Agilent Jet Stream high sensitivity ion source in the positive ion mode. Instrument settings were: gas temperature 325°C, gas flow 9 L/min, nebulizer pressure 40 psi, sheath gas temperature 380°C, sheath gas flow 10 L/min, capillary 2500 V, and pos nozzle 0V. Data acquisition and peak integration were interfaced to a computer workstation using Mass Hunter (Tokyo, Japan). High-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and their specific mode was used for the mass spectrometric analysis to identify the appropriate ions to monitor *m/z*: fentanyl 337.2–188.1, secondary 337.2–105.1, fentanyl-d5 342.3–188.1, secondary 342.3–105.1. The analysis of extracted serum samples from the mice after dosing (26.25 and 3.75 mg/kg) as well as the controls were also measured by applying LC-MS/MS and fentanyl concentrations were determined after appropriate sample preparation following the above-described method.

Flow cytometry and single cell sorting

Fentanyl-reactive B cells were analyzed on an LSR II instrument and isolated using an ARIA II cell sorter (BD Bioscience). Cells were stained using fentanyl-PE (1:1000; 1 μ M stock), a decoy-PE-AF647 (1:50; 1 μ M stock)^{49,50,95} and the following antibodies: rat antimouse CD19-BV412 (1:100, Biolegend), rat anti-mouse IgG1-BV650 (1:100, Biolegend), rat anti-mouse CD138-BV510 (1:300, Biolegend), rat anti-mouse GL-7-FITC (1:1000, BD Pharmingen), rat anti-mouse CD38-PE-Cy7 (1:400, Biolegend), goat anti-mouse IgM-biotin (1:400, Jackson), rat anti-mouse IgD-APC-Cy7 (1:1000, Biolegend), streptavidin-BV785 (1:400, Biolegend). The LIVE/DEADTM Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit (1:1000, Invitrogen) was included in all stainings to exclude dead cells. The data were analyzed using FlowJo v10 software. For single-cell sorting, fentanyl-reactive B cells were defined as live fentanyl + decoy-CD19+ and sorted into 384-well plates containing lysis buffer using an ARIA II cell sorter (BD Bioscience and using the index-sort function).

SMART-seq 2.5 library preparations

Single-cell RNA sequencing was performed following the SMART-seq 2.5 library preparation protocol as described in Picelli et al.,⁹⁶ and modified by the DKFZ scOpenLab in Bioquant, Heidelberg. Plate preparation was assisted by the BRAVO Agilent and Mosquito LV liquid handling platforms. Quality control was performed on the TapeStation provided by Agilent Technology, using a D5000 Tape. Single cell library preparation was performed using the Nextera XT DNA SMP Prep Kit (96 SMP). DNA was cleaned-up using a magnetic size selection. The samples were finally quantified and assessed on a D1000 TapeStation.

Single cell RNA sequencing

Single cell RNA sequencing was performed on the Illumina sequencing platform of the DKFZ Genomics and Proteomic sequencing facility. The samples were pooled and delivered as multiplexed. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) of 75 bp single reads was performed using the NextSeq 550 Sequencing System. Quality control was performed before and after the sequencing process. The quality of the raw reads was assessed using fastqc and MultiQC.⁷¹ Adapter (Nextera transposase sequence) and quality trimming (Phred score cutoff of 20, overlap of 3 bp) was performed with TrimGalore version: v0.6.4_dev (Cutadapt v1.18),⁷⁰ keeping the sequencing reads with a length of at least 36 bps. Genome reference-based alignment was performed using the slice-aware STAR (v2.6.0a) alignment tool⁷³ with the default parameters with Release M25 (GENCODE-GRCm38.p6) of the mouse genome. The reference genome was indexed with a bp overhang of 100-1. The generated bam files were sorted using Samtools (v1.9)⁷² based on the chromosome coordinates. Unmapped reads, PCR duplicates, and reads with an alignment p.score <20 were removed by filtering the sorted bam files.



Transcriptome analysis

Downstream preprocessing analysis was performed separately for the sorted plates in the R programming language using the Bioconductor packages SingleCellExperiment (v1.16.0),⁷⁷ scater (v1.22),⁷⁸ and scran (v1.22.1).⁷⁹ The count matrices with only the exonic sequences were generated using the *summarizeOverlaps* function of the GenomicAlignments package (v1.30⁷⁴). Gene annotation was performed with the *makeTxDbFromGFF* function of the GenomicFeatures package (v1.46.1),⁷⁴ the AnnotationDbi (v1.52.0),⁷⁶ the Ensembldb (v2.14.1),⁷⁵ and the org.Mm.eg.db (v3.12.0)⁸⁰ package. The mitochondrial genes were identified by extracting the genomic coordinates according to the *TxDb.Mmusculus.UCSC.mm10.ensGene* dataset.

Quality control, normalization, and feature

Outlier cells with high mitochondrial percentage, low library numbers and low unique features were identified and removed based on a median absolute deviation (MAD) = 3. Additionally, gene filtering was applied by removing genes with <3 reads in <5 cells. Further, we also eliminated transcripts that map to the VDJ immunoglobulin genes, while keeping the reads aligning to the constant regions of the heavy and light chains. The gene expression was normalized by deconvolution by applying the *quickCluster* and *ComputeSum-Factors* functions of scran. Next, we computed the log-transformed normalized expression values. Feature selection was performed with *modelGeneVar*, which models the variance of the log-expression profiles for each gene on a fitted mean-variance trend. Genes with a variance of >0 and FDR <0.1 are maintained (\sim 13,000 for each plate).

Data integration

The sorted plates (262 and 288 cells for each plate respectively) were integrated based on non-negative matrix factorization using the rLiger package (v1.0.0), by asserting all the genes that are either shared or unique for each plate and have a variance >0.1 and an alpha threshold of 0.05⁴⁰. The lambda and kappa values were fixed to 5 and 20, respectively. Genes were normalized and scaled, and the cells were clustered using a resolution of 0.9. Finally, Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP)⁹⁷ was applied by computing the 'cosine' distance of 30 neighboring cells with a minimum distance of 0.1.

Marker gene identification

A first characterization of the B cell subclusters was performed by using a set of known B cell markers. UMAP visualizations of the joint expression of 3 known memory B cell markers were created using the Nebulosa package (v1.4⁸⁴). Differential gene expression between clusters was performed with the MAST algorithm⁸¹ integrated in the Seurat:4 package⁸² after transforming the liger object into a Seurat object of 550 cells, using the default parameters. For the subcluster characterized as "switched memory B cells", more stringent parameters were applied (at least <0.5 LFC and the presence of the markers in at least 30% of the cells) to reassure the identification of robust marker genes. For visualization purposes (Figure 4B), the *Z* score of the top 10 genes from each subcluster with a positive log fold change along with several known B cell markers were plotted together. However, to strengthen our confidence in the subcluster identification, we also examined the expression levels of 35 of the most widely recognized B cell markers such as Fcer2a (or Cd23), Mzb1, Cd9, Cd38, Ccr4, Fos, Cd40, and several transcription factors such as Irf4, Irf8, Blimp1, Bach2, and Bcl6 (Figure 4B).³⁹⁻⁴⁴ Using the *pheatmap* package, hierarchical clustering between both the selected genes (rows) and the identified subclusters (columns) was applied. Columns were clustered based on the "correlation" distance and the "ward.D" method, while rows were based on the "euclidean" distance.

BCR sequencing analysis

The trimmed reads of the raw fastq files were analyzed using the BASIC vdj assemble package (v1.5.0).⁸³ Annotation of the VDJ segments was performed with the IgBLAST (v1.16.0)⁸⁵ using a database of V(D)J genes from IMGT as a reference while BLAST (v2.11.0) was used for the identification of the constant chains. Downstream analysis was performed in R, using the Change-O - Repertoire clonal assignment toolkit from the "Immcantation" portal (v1.0.0⁸⁶) and Shazam (v1.1.0) for the mutational load analysis.⁸⁶

Monoclonal antibody (mAB) cloning

For IgGs, VDJ regions were synthesized via Twist Biosciences with flanking restriction sites matching the expression vectors taken from Tiller et al. . Heavy chain VDJ regions were flanked with a 5' Agel restriction site and 3' Sall restriction site. Light chain VDJ regions were flanked with a 5' Agel restriction site and 3' BsiWI restriction site. Heavy and light chain vectors and VDJ region-containing plasmids were digested as per Tiller et al.⁶² using enzymes obtained from NEB. Linear inserts were ligated into the appropriate linear vector using T4 DNA Ligase (ThermoFisher Scientific EL0014). The resulting expression vectors encode antibodies under the control of a CMV promoter. For Fabs, VDJ regions of the Fab heavy chain FenAB136, 609, 709 and FenAB136 light chain were synthesized commercially (Thermo Fisher Scientific, GeneArt), while the VDJ regions of FenAB208 were cloned out of the IgG vector templates. Mammalian expression vectors containing the various Fab heavy chain genes, as well as those containing light chain FenAB136 and FenAB208, were generated via DNA Assembly (NEBuilder) using heavy and light chain vectors kindly provided by Mirjana Lilic. Heavy chains have a C-terminal hexahistidine tag. Vectors encoding the Fab light chains FenAB609 and FenAB709 were generated by QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent QuikChange Lightning site-directed mutagenesis kit) using the FenAB136 light chain as a template. The resulting expression vectors encode Fabs under the control of a CMV promoter. All oligonucleotides used for PCR reactions during the above cloning processes are listed in the key resources table.





mAB expression and purification

For IgGs, Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293F cells (ThermoFisher Scientific R79007) grown in 125 mL flasks in FreeStyleTM 293 Expression Medium (ThermoFisher Scientific 12338018) were transfected at cell densities between 8.0×10^5 to 1.0×10^6 cells/mL with equal masses of plasmids containing the heavy chain and light chain genes using FreeStyleTM MAX Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific 16447100); both plasmids were diluted in OptiPROTM SFM (ThermoFisher Scientific 12309050). Transfected cells were incubated at 37° C with 5% CO₂ on a Digital Orbital Shaker (Southwest Science SBT300) operating at 120 rpm for 6 days. Supernatants were collected after 6 days and passed through a gravity chromatography column containing 1 mL of PierceTM Protein G Agarose resin (ThermoFisher Scientific 20397). The column was washed with 20 mL of wash buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4) and eluted in 1 mL fractions with elution buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Glycine, pH 2.8) prior to neutralization with neutralization buffer (1 M Tris, pH 8.0). Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Fabs were produced in 293F cells by co-transfections of these cells with equal amounts of purified heavy and light chain plasmid, using 293fectin (Thermo Fisher 12347-019). Cells were incubated at 37°C, 8% CO₂ on an orbital shaker at 130 rpm for 5 days. Supernatants were harvested by centrifugation at 1500xg for 15 min, filtered, concentrated in an Amicon stirred cell and diluted (2x) in binding buffer (50 mM Sodium Phosphate, 20 mM Imidazole, 300 mM NaCl, pH8). Supernatant was then incubated with Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) for 1–2 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Next, gravity flow columns were used to collect the Ni-NTA agarose and columns were washed with binding buffer. Fabs were eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Sodium Phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM Imidazole, pH8), concentrated in centrifugal units and re-suspended in Dulbecco's PBS. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

BLI and ITC

Biolayer interferometry (BLI) was performed on an Octet Red 96e system (Sartorius). Streptavidin-coated biosensors were pre-hydrated with PBS-T (phosphate buffered saline pH 7.5, 0.05% Tween 20), and baseline responses were measured in PBS-T. Biosensors were loaded with biotinylated fentanyl-hapten (where the biotin replaces the poly-gly sequence from fen-G4; biotinylated fentanyl derivative syntheses are described in³³), 0.2 μ g/mL for 60 s. Association of antibody with biotinylated hapten was measured in PBS-T with 5 sample concentrations ranging from 5 nM–200 nM for 180 s, followed by dissociation in PBS-T for 300 s. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments were performed using Microcal PEAQ-ITC (Malvern, UK). For all experiments, PBS was used to dissolve fentanyl-citrate (Sigma, 2 mg/mL), fentanyl-hapten, and for the final purification step of Fabs. Titrations were performed at 25°C by injecting consecutive (1–3 μ L) aliquots of fentanyl or fentanyl-hapten (both 50 μ M) into Fab fragment (4–7 μ M) with 120 s intervals. The binding data was corrected for the heat of dilution and fit to a one-site binding model to calculate the K_d, and the binding parameters, N and Δ H. Binding sites were assumed to be identical.

Relative affinity by competition ELISA

Relative affinity was determined by competition ELISA. 96-well plates (Costar polystyrene high-binding plates, Corning) were coated overnight with fen-G4 conjugated to BSA, 50 ng/mL in a 50 mM carbonate buffer, pH 9.6 (Sigma). Plates were blocked with 1% gelatin for 1 h, washed with PBS-T, and free drug was loaded onto plates as competitor. Plates were incubated with 20 ng/mL HIS-tagged Fab for 2 h, washed, and incubated with Penta-His-biotin conjugate 1:5000 (Qiagen) overnight. Streptavidin-HRP 1:5000 (Thermo Fisher) was added, and plates were incubated for 1 h and washed. Fab bound to plates was measured using SigmaFAST OPD substrate (Sigma), and quantitated by absorbance at 492 nm on a microplate reader (Tecan). The fentanyl-hapten structure used for this particular assay differs from the structures presented in Figure S1. Specifically, we here used the "F3" hapten structure from Robinson et al., 2020,²⁷ with the exact same BSA-conjugation methodology described in that publication.

Passive immunization

To collect the data shown in Figures 5E, 5F, 5H and S5A + F: Five 6–8 weeks old female C57BL/6J mice per group were passively immunized by intraperitoneal injection of monoclonal antibody in 200 μ L of PBS. One day later, mice were challenged in antinociception and movement tracking assays as described above.

To collect the data shown in Figures 5G, S5D and S5E, mice were first administered fentanyl and then subsequently injected intravenously (after approximately 9 min) with monoclonal antibody in 120 μ L of PBS. Mice were challenged in antinociception and movement tracking assays as described above.

LABORAS

The automated laboratory animal behavior observation registration and analysis system (LABORAS) was used to monitor the behavior of the experimental mice. In general, the system utilizes a home cage environment, it is non-invasive and able to track a wide range of genuine behaviors, such as resting, locomotion, traveled distance, climbing, grooming, eating and drinking, without the need for human observers. It measures the vibrations created by the movement of an animal and converts them into behaviors and tracking data using pattern recognition and signal analysis technology. The software can detect each behavior by its distinct signature of signal characteristics, as well as extract the position and speed of the animal.



In the experiments descripted in this manuscript, mice were individually placed in a LABORAS cage and provided with food and water. A baseline measurement of 15–30 min was performed for each mouse before the start of the experiment, followed by 15-minsessions after fentanyl injections. For each session, the software tracks the movement of the animals depicted in heat maps.

Crystallography of Fab-ligand complexes

Fabs (2–4 mg/mL) were mixed with a 2 to 3-fold molar excess of fen-G4 or fentanyl (Sigma F-013) in Dulbecco's PBS and incubated for 1–2 h at 4°C. Complexes were purified by gel filtration (GE Healthcare, Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column) in 10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8 and concentrated in centrifugal units to about 5 mg/mL for crystallization. All datasets were collected at the Paul Scherrer Institut (Beamline X06DA) at a wavelength of 1.0 Å, using a nitrogen stream at 100 K. For FenAb709 iMosflm⁹⁸ was used to remove reflections overlapping with ice rings. All the other datasets were processed using the XDS package.⁶⁵ The structure of FenAb136 was solved by molecular replacement with PHENIX^{67,99} (PHASER module⁶⁶) using PDB ID 5H2B as a search model.¹⁰⁰ All the other structures were solved using FenAb136 as a starting model. The AUTOBUILD module of PHENIX was used for constructing the initial models. PHENIX, Coot,⁶⁸ and PDB-redo⁶⁹ were used for subsequent model building and refinement. The fentanyl or fen-sort was built after refinement of the protein structure. In all cases the density for the ligand was clearly visible in the electron density maps.

Plots and figures

The plots shown in Figure 4 as a part of the sequencing analyses were created in R (v3.6.3 and v4.0.3) using ggplot2 (v3.3.5),⁸⁷ grid-Extra (v2.3) (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gridExtra/index.html) and ggrepel (v0.9.1) (https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/ggrepel/index.html), joint density plots with Nebulosa (v1.4), heatmap with pheatmap (v1.0.1.) and circos plots were formed using the circlize package (v0.4.13).⁸⁸ The images represented throughout the rest of the manuscript were generated using Adobe Photoshop 20201 (v22.0.0) and Adobe Illustrator CS6 (v16.0.3) after rendering plots and tables in GraphPad Prism (v9), Microsoft Powerpoint (v16), Microsoft Excel (v16), and/or FlowJo (v10.7.2). Structures and molecular surfaces are illustrated with CCP4MG (v2.10.11) (https://pymol.org/2/).^{63,64} The images shown in Figures 1B and S2C were generated with the assistance of BioRender.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical details of experiments can be found in the figures and figure legends. For data related to animal experiments, statistics were analyzed using GraphPad Prism v9. Parametric or non-parametric tests were applied accordingly and are stated in the figure legends. Tests were chosen after consulting similarly designed experiments present in the literature. p values < 0.05 were considered significant (****: p < 0.0001, **: p < 0.005, **: p < 0.01, *: p < 0.05) and indicated in the figures. Statistical details of the RNA-seq analysis are described elsewhere throughout the STAR Methods section.